arXiv:1609.02044v3 [math.RT] 6 Feb 2017

Lie groups of controlled characters of combinatorial Hopf algebras

R. Dahmen and A. Schmeding

February 7, 2017

In this article groups of "controlled" characters of a combinatorial Hopf algebra are considered from the perspective of infinite-dimensional Lie theory. A character is controlled in our sense if it satisfies certain growth bounds, e.g. exponential growth. These groups appear in the guise of groups of locally convergent power series in applications in numerical analysis and control theory. It is commonly believed that these groups should be infinite-dimensional Lie groups on which certain differential equations can be solved.

A combinatorial Hopf algebra is, following Loday and Ronco, a graded and connected Hopf algebra which is a polynomial algebra with an explicit choice of basis (usually identified with combinatorial objects such as trees, graphs, etc.). If the growth bounds and the Hopf algebra are compatible we prove that the controlled characters form infinite-dimensional Lie groups. Further, we identify the Lie algebra and discuss regularity (in the sense of Milnor) of these Lie groups.

The results presented here, generalise earlier results on the Lie group structure of the (tame) Butcher group and are the stepping stone to treat a broad class of examples from numerical analysis and control theory.

Keywords: real analytic, infinite-dimensional Lie group, (combinatorial) Hopf algebra, Silva space, weighted sequence space, inductive limit of Banach spaces, regularity of Lie groups, Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra

MSC2010: 22E65 (primary); 16T05, 16T30, 43A40, 46N40, 46B45 (Secondary)

Contents

Introduction and statement of results **2**

1. Foundations: Weighted function spaces **7**

2. Analytic manifolds of controlled characters on free monoids **14**

3. Lie groups of controlled characters on Hopf algebras **18**

4. Regularity of controlled character groups **28**

5. Instructive examples of controlled character groups **36**

A. Calculus in locally convex spaces **46**

B. Auxiliary results for Chapter 1 **48**

References **51**

Introduction and statement of results

Hopf algebras and their character groups appear in a variety of mathematical and physical contexts, such as numerical analysis [LMK11, MKW08], renormalisation of quantum field theories [Man08, CM08], the theory of rough paths [HK15, BCFP17] and control theory [GDEEF14, Obe85]. In the contexts mentioned above, these Hopf algebras encode combinatorial information specific to the application. On the structural level, the combinatorial nature of the Hopf algebras allows one to identify them (as algebras) with polynomial algebras built from combinatorial objects like trees, graphs, words or permutations. Thus these applications naturally lead to the notion of a *combinatorial Hopf algebra*: Following Loday and Ronco, a Hopf algebra is *combinatorial* if it is a graded and connected Hopf algebra which is isomorphic (as an algebra) to a polynomial algebra.[1] In this picture, the variables which generate the polynomial algebra correspond to the combinatorial objects. However, in applications one is usually not only interested in the Hopf algebra, but in its character group, i.e. the group of algebra homomorphisms from the Hopf algebra into a commutative target algebra. Elements in the character group can for example be identified with formal power series (which correspond in the context of numerical analysis for example to formal numerical solutions). A Lie group structure for the character groups of a large class of Hopf algebras was constructed in [BDS16]. However, it turns out that the topology and differentiable structure of the character group is not fine enough to treat certain problems which arise in applications. As discussed in [BS17, BDS17] this problem can not be solved in general, since the group of characters does not admit a finer structure which still turns it into a Lie group.

One way to remedy this problem is to pass to a suitable subgroup of group of all characters, which admits a finer structure. The groups envisaged here correspond to

[1] Note that the isomorphism to the polynomial algebra is part of the structure of a combinatorial Hopf algebra. The notion of combinatorial Hopf algebra used in the present paper is more specialised than the notion of combinatorial Hopf algebras as defined in [LR10]. In contrast to ibid. combinatorial Hopf algebras in our sense are always graded and connected and we restrict to Hopf algebras which are free algebras over a generating set (in ibid. also the cofree case is considered).

groups of power series which converge at least locally. Groups arising in this way have been investigated in numerical analysis [BS16] and in the context of control theory, where in [GDEEF14] a group of locally convergent Chen-Fliess series is constructed. For example, the group treated in numerical analysis, the so called tame Butcher group, corresponds to a a group of formal power series, the B-series. Local convergence of these power series yields locally convergent approximative (numerical) solutions to ordinary differential equations. Using the Lie group structure, it was observed in [BS16] that methods from numerical analysis (e.g. identifying characters with B-series) correspond to Lie group morphisms between infinite-dimensional groups.

Motivated by these results, we present a construction principle for Lie groups of "controlled characters". Here a character is called controlled (a notion made precise in Section 2 below), if it satisfies certain growth bounds, e.g. exponential growth bounds (tame Butcher group case). The general conjecture in the literature seems to be that these groups should admit a suitable Lie group structure. To obtain groups of controlled characters, the growth bounds and the Hopf algebra structure must be compatible. In essence this means that the structural maps of the Hopf algebra should satisfy certain estimates with respect to the growth bounds. This leads to the notion of a control pair (see Definition 3.3 below) and we prove that every control pair gives rise to a Lie group of controlled characters, for which we investigate the Lie theoretic properties. Our investigation is based on a concept of C^r-maps between locally convex spaces known as Bastiani's calculus [Bas64] or Keller's C^r_c-theory [Kel74] (see [Mil83, Glö02a, Nee06] for streamlined expositions). For the reader's convenience Appendix A contains a brief recollection of the basic notions used throughout the paper. The novelty of these results is due to the fact that we obtain a flexible construction that can be applied to a broad class of Hopf algebras and growth bounds (whereas the methods from the tame Butcher case were limited in scope).

Finally, we mention that in the present paper we mainly focus on the Lie theory for these groups and illustrate the constructions only with some selected examples. The reason for this is that examples from applications usually require certain specific (and involved) combinatorial estimates.[2] It should come at no surprise that the general theory for controlled character groups is not as elegant as the general Lie theory for character groups as developed in [BDS16]. The additional difficulty corresponds exactly to the passage from formal power series to convergent series. However, our results are stronger, as the topology and differentiable sturcture of controlled characters are suitable to treat applications where (local) convergence is essential.

We will now describe the results of this paper in more details. Fix a graded and connected Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{H}, m, 1_{\mathcal{H}}, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ and a commutative Banach algebra B over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. We recall that he character group $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as

$$G(\mathcal{H}, B) := \left\{ \varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \middle| {}^{\varphi(ab)=\varphi(a)\varphi(b), \forall a,b \in \mathcal{H}}_{\text{and } \varphi(1_{\mathcal{H}})=1_B} \right\},$$

together with the convolution product $\varphi \star \psi := m_B \circ (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta$.

[2] We refer the reader to Section 5 for some examples and a more in depth discussion of these problems.

Our aim is now to study certain subgroups of "controlled characters" for a combinatorial Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$.[3] To give meaning to the term "controlled", we fix a family $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of functions $\omega_k \colon \mathbb{N}_0 \to [0,\infty[$ which defines growth bounds for the characters. With respect to such a family, we then define the subset of all controlled characters as

$$G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) = \{\, \varphi \in G(\mathcal{H}, B) |\, \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } \|\varphi(\tau)\|_B \leq \omega_k(|\tau|) \text{ for all } \tau \in \Sigma \,\}\,.$$

For example, the growth family $(n \mapsto 2^{kn})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ (used in [BS16] for the tame Butcher group) gives rise to the subset of exponentially bounded characters. In general, the set $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ will not form a subgroup of $(G(\mathcal{H}, B), \star)$ (cf. Example 3.8). However, if the growth family satisfies suitable conditions and is compatible with the combinatorial Hopf algebra, we prove that $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is indeed a subgroup of the character group. Here compatibility roughly means that the coproduct and the antipode of the combinatorial Hopf algebra satisfy ℓ^1-type estimates with respect to growth bounds. This leads to the notion of a control pair consisting of a combinatorial Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ and a compatible growth family (made precise in Section 3). For a control pair $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}})$ and a Banach algebra B, we prove that the controlled characters form a group. We show that on this group there is a natural (in general infinite-dimensional) Lie group structure:

Theorem A *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ be a combinatorial Hopf algebra, B a commutative Banach algebra and $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a growth family such that $(\mathcal{H}, (\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}})$ forms a control pair. The associated group of B-valued controlled characters $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is an analytic Lie group modelled on an (LB)-space.*

At this point it is worthwhile to discuss a finer point of the topology and manifold structure of the controlled character group. Recall from [BDS16] that the character group $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$ of a graded and connected Hopf algebra is an infinite-dimensional Lie group. Albeit the controlled characters form a subgroup of this Lie group, they are not a Lie subgroup in the sense that its manifold structure and the topology are **not** the induced ones. Instead, the topology on the group of controlled characters is properly finer than the one induced by all characters.[4] However, the canonical inclusion $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \to G(\mathcal{H}, B)$ turns out to be a smooth (and therefore in particular continuous) group homomorphism.

Recall that the Lie algebra of the character group $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is the Lie algebra of infinitesimal characters

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{H}, B) := \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \mid \varphi(ab) = \varepsilon(a)\varphi(b) + \varepsilon(b)\varphi(a)\}$$

with the commutator Lie bracket $[\varphi, \psi] := \varphi \star \psi - \psi \star \varphi$. It turns out that the Lie algebra of the subgroup $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ consists precisely of those infinitesimal characters which satisfy an estimate similar to the definition of the controlled characters:

[3] As explained above, in our sense a *combinatorial Hopf algebra* is a graded and connected Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ together with an isomorphism to an algebra of (non) commutative polynomials generated by $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.

[4] For additional information we refer to the discussion on the topology of the tame Butcher group in [BS16] and in [BDS17]. Note that the topologies there are studied with a view towards application in numerical analysis (compare [BS17, Remark 2.3]).

Lie algebra of the controlled characters *The Lie algebra of $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is given by the controlled infinitesimal characters $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B), [\cdot, \cdot])$, where the Lie bracket is the commutator bracket induced by the convolution*

$$[\varphi, \psi] = \varphi \star \psi - \psi \star \varphi.$$

As in the Lie group case, this Lie algebra is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of all characters but in general with a finer topolgy.

Then we discuss regularity (in the sense of Milnor) for the Lie groups of controlled characters. To understand these results first recall the notion of regularity for Lie groups.

Let G be a Lie group modelled on a locally convex space, with identity element $\mathbf{1}$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. We use the tangent map of the left translation $\lambda_g \colon G \to G$, $x \mapsto gx$ by $g \in G$ to define $g.v := T_{\mathbf{1}}\lambda_g(v) \in T_g G$ for $v \in T_{\mathbf{1}}(G) =: \mathbf{L}(G)$. Following [Glö15], G is called *C^r-semiregular* if for each C^r-curve $\eta \colon [0,1] \to \mathbf{L}(G)$ the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \gamma'(t) &= \gamma(t).\eta(t) \\ \gamma(0) &= \mathbf{1} \end{cases}$$

has a (necessarily unique) C^{r+1}-solution $\mathrm{Evol}(\eta) := \gamma \colon [0,1] \to G$. Furthermore, if G is C^r-semiregular and the map

$$\mathrm{evol} \colon C^r([0,1], \mathbf{L}(G)) \to G, \quad \gamma \mapsto \mathrm{Evol}(\gamma)(1)$$

is smooth, then G is called *C^r-regular*. If G is C^r-regular and $r \leq s$, then G is also C^s-regular. A C^∞-regular Lie group G is called *regular (in the sense of Milnor)* – a property first defined in [Mil83]. Every finite-dimensional Lie group is C^0-regular (cf. [Nee06]). Several important results in infinite-dimensional Lie theory are only available for regular Lie groups (see [Mil83, Nee06, Glö15], cf. also [KM97] and the references therein).

Recall that in [BDS16, Theorem C] the regularity of the character group $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is shown. Together with well known techniques from [Glö15], this result paves the way to establish semiregularity of the controlled character groups. Our strategy is to prove that the solutions of the differential equations on $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$ remain in the group of controlled characters if we solve the differential equation for a curve into the controlled infinitesimal characters. Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain the necessary estimates to carry out this program in full generality. Instead our methods yield (semi-)regularity only for a certain class of combinatorial Hopf algebras in the presence of additional estimates. Before we state the result, recall the notion of a *right-handed Hopf algebra* from [MP15]:

We call a combinatorial Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ *right-handed* if the reduced coproduct[5] satisfies the relation

$$\overline{\Delta}(\tau) \subseteq \mathbb{K}^{(\Sigma)} \otimes \mathcal{H} \quad \forall \tau \in \Sigma,$$

[5] Recall that in a graded and connected Hopf algebra the coproduct can be written as $\Delta(x) = 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1 + \overline{\Delta}(x)$, where $\overline{\Delta}$ is a sum of tensor products $a \otimes b$ with $|a|, |b| \geq 1$.

where $\mathbb{K}^{(\Sigma)}$ is the vector space spanned by Σ. Thus in a right-handed Hopf algebra, the reduced coproduct contains only polynomials in Σ on the right-hand side of the tensor products, whereas the left-hand side contains only (multiples of) elements of Σ. Many combinatorial Hopf algebras appearing in applications (e.g. the Connes-Kreimer algebra, Faà di Bruno algebras) are right-handed Hopf algebras. In addition to the right-handed condition we also need to assume that the terms of the reduced coproduct whose right-hand side is also given by a multiple of an element in Σ grows only linear in the degree.[6] To this end, we consider the elementary coproduct, i.e. the terms of the reduced coproduct incorporating only tensors which are in both components contained in $\mathbb{K}^{(\Sigma)}$,

$$\overline{\Delta}^{e}(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau| \\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}} c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}\alpha\otimes\tau \quad \text{for } \tau\in\Sigma.$$

We call a right-handed Hopf algebra *right-hand linearly bounded or (RLB) Hopf algebra* if there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left\|\overline{\Delta}^{e}(\tau)\right\|_{\ell^1} = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau| \\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}} |c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}| \leq a|\tau| + b \quad \text{for all } \tau\in\Sigma.$$

For example, the Connes-Kreimer algebra of rooted trees is an (RLB) Hopf algebra. This property was used to establish the regularity of the tame Butcher group in [BS16]. We obtain now the following general result for groups of controlled characters of an (RLB)-Hopf algebra.

Theorem B *Let $(\mathcal{H},\Sigma)$ be an (RLB) Hopf algebra, B a Banach algebra. Assume that $((\mathcal{H},\Sigma),(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}})$ is a control pair. Then the associated group $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)$ is C^0-regular and thus in particular regular in the sense of Milnor.*

The main difficulty here is to prove that the solutions of the differential equations stay bounded, whence the above estimates come into play. Note that Theorem B is new even for the tame Butcher group, which was previously only known to be C^1-regular (see [BS16]). We remark that proof of Theorem B is much more conceptual than the proof for the regularity appearing in [BS16]. In particular, Theorem B emphasise the value of "right-handedness" of the Hopf algebra[7].

Though Theorem B establishes regularity for controlled character groups for some combinatorial Hopf algebras, a general regularity result is still missing. This is somewhat problematic as there are diverse combinatorial Hopf algebras which appear in applications and are not right-handed. For example, the (quasi-)shuffle algebra (cf. Example 3.2) is not right-handed so in particular it is not a (RLB) Hopf algebra. Also the Faà di Bruno algebra, see Example 5.4, is not an (RLB) Hopf algebra (though it is right-handed) However, to the authors knowledge Theorem B is the only available result which asserts regularity of groups of controlled characters. Stronger results could

[6] Our methods can be adapted to slightly more general situations if more information is available, cf. Remark 4.8.

[7] Right-handed Hopf algebras are closely connected to so called preLie algebras, cf. [LR10] and [MP15] for further information.

conceivably be established once new and refined methods become available. Recall that it is a long standing conjecture in infinite-dimensional Lie theory, that every Lie group modelled on a complete space is regular (in the sense of Milnor). Hence we expect also the controlled character groups to be regular and pose the following open problem:

Open Problem *Establish regularity for the group of controlled characters of an arbitrary combinatorial Hopf algebra. Alternatively, give an example of a combinatorial Hopf algebra with a a controlled character group which is not regular.*

Acknowledgements This research was partially supported by the project *Structure Preserving Integrators, Discrete Integrable Systems and Algebraic Combinatorics* (Norwegian Research Council project 231632) and the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 691070. The authors thank A. Murua, J.M. Sanz-Serna, D. Manchon, K. Ebrahimi-Fard and W.S. Gray for helpful discussions on the subject of this work.

1. Foundations: Weighted function spaces

1.1 Notation We write $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Throughout this article $\mathbb{K}$ denotes either the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real or the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers.

Our goal is to study functions which grow in a controlled way, i.e. satisfy certain growth restrictions. To make this precise we fix a family of functions which will measure how fast a function can grow while still be regarded as "controlled":

1.2 Definition (Growth family) A family $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of functions $\omega_k \colon \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}$ is called *growth family* if it satisfies the following. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$

(W1) $\omega_k(0) = 1$ and $\omega_k(n) \leq \omega_{k+1}(n)$.

(W2) $\omega_k(n) \cdot \omega_k(m) \leq \omega_k(n+m)$.

(W3) For all $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k_2 \geq k_1$ such that $\omega_{k_2}(n) \geq 2^n \cdot \omega_{k_1}(n)$.

A growth family is called *convex* if for a fixed k_1 we can choose $k_2 \geq k_1$ such that in addition for each $k_3 \geq k_2$

(cW) $\exists \alpha \in]0,1[$ such that $\quad \omega_{k_1}(n)^\alpha \cdot \omega_{k_3}(n)^{1-\alpha} \leq \omega_{k_2}(n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The technical condition (cW) assures that the model spaces of the Lie groups of controlled characters are well behaved (see Lemma B.4 for the exact statement). In general, condition (cW) is needed for our techniques. However, in an important class of examples (i.e. characters of Hopf algebras of finite type with values in finite-dimensional algebras, compare Proposition 1.14 (d)) it is not necessary to require the convexity property.

1.3 Remark Observe that (W3) implies that a growth family will grow at least exponentially fast. The growth bounds considered in Proposition 1.4 below thus grow at least with exponential speed. In general, it is desirable to use the most restrictive growth family (i.e. one with exponential growth) to ensure certain convergence properties in applications. However, as we will see in Example 5.6, not all combinatorial Hopf algebras admit groups of controlled characters which are exponentially bounded.

1.4 Proposition (Standard examples) *The following are convex growth families in the sense of Definition 1.2 (Hopf algebra and Example refer to further information given in Section 5).*

$\omega_k(n)$	Application	Hopf algebra	see	Source
k^n	B-series,	(coloured) Connes-Kreimer	5.2	[HL97]
2^{kn}	P-series	algebra of rooted trees	5.8	[BS16][a]
$k^n \cdot n!$	Chen-Fliess series	Faà di Bruno type	5.13	[GDE11]
k^{n^2}	formal power	Faà di Bruno	5.4	
$k^n(n!)^k$	series[b]		5.6	

[a] In the construction of the tame Butcher group in [BS16] the growth family $\omega_k(n) := 2^{kn}$ was used. However, both families realise exponentially bounded characters and thus the same groups.
[b] The growth bounds will be used to illustrate certain technical details of the theory.

Proof. Property (W1) can be established by trivial calculations for all of these examples.

The functions $\omega_k(n) := k^n$ are multiplicative, i.e. $\omega_k(n)\omega_k(m) = \omega_k(n+m)$ so (W2) holds. Furthermore, setting $k_2 := 2k_1$, (W3) is satisfied. This proves that the family $(n \mapsto k^n)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a growth family.

To show that it is convex, i.e. that it satisfies (cW), we proceed as follows: Let $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and let as above $k_2 = 2k_1$. Now for fixed $k_3 \geq k_2$ we use that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 1} k_1^\alpha \cdot k_3^{1-\alpha} = k_1 < k_2,$$

whence there is $\alpha \in]0,1[$ such that $k_1^\alpha \cdot k_3^{1-\alpha} < k_2$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we now have

$$\omega_{k_1}(n)^\alpha \cdot \omega_{k_3}(n)^{1-\alpha} = k_1^{n\alpha} \cdot k_3^{n(1-\alpha)} < k_2^n = \omega_{k_2}(n) \tag{1}$$

which is what we wanted to show. As $\omega_k(n) = 2^{kn}$ is a cofinal subsequence of the family $(n \mapsto k^n)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, we immediately see that $(n \mapsto 2^{kn})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is also a convex growth family. Similarly, one establishes that $\omega_k(n) = k^{n^2}$ forms a convex growth family.

Now, we turn to example $\omega_k(n) = k^n n!$: It is well known that $1 \leq \binom{i+j}{j} = \frac{(i+j)!}{i!j!} \leq 2^{i+j}$ holds for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence (W2) holds and if we choose $k_2 \geq 2k_1$ we see that (W3) is satisfied. Multiplying (1) with $n!$, one sees immediately that (cW) is also satisfied.

We now turn to the family $\omega_k(n) = k^n(n!)^k$ and compute for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as follows

$$\omega_k(i)\omega_k(j) = k^i(i!)^k k^j(j!)^k = k^{i+j}(i!j!)^k \leq k^{i+j}((i+j)!)^k = \omega_k(i+j).$$

Thus (W2) is satisfied. Again choosing $k_2 = 2k_1$ allows one to see that (W3) holds. Therefore, the functions $\omega_k(n) = k^n(n!)^k$ form a growth family. To see that this family is convex, consider $k_3 \geq k_2 = 2k_1$. Now since $k_2 > k_1$ we can choose $\alpha \in]0,1[$ such that (1) is satisfied (with respect to k_3). Enlarging $\alpha \in]0,1[$ if necessary, we may also assume that $\alpha k_1 + (1-\alpha)k_3 < k_2$. With this choice of α we obtain for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\omega_{k_1}(n)^\alpha \omega_{k_3}(n)^{1-\alpha} = (k_1^\alpha k_3^{1-\alpha})^n (n!)^{\alpha k_1 + (1-\alpha)k_3} < k_2^n (n!)^{k_2} = \omega_{k_2}(n). \qquad \square$$

1.5 Remark Let $\varphi\colon \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$ be a function with the following properties:

- $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(n) \geq n$
- $\varphi(m) + \varphi(n) \leq \varphi(m+n)$

It is easy to check that $(n \mapsto \omega_k(\varphi(n)))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a (convex) growth family provided that $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a (convex) growth family. In particular, this works for monomial maps $\varphi(n) = n^j$ for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This generalises the example $(n \mapsto k^{n^2})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ from Proposition 1.4.

1.6 Remark The properties (W1) and (W2) are needed to construct locally convex algebras on certain inductive limits of spaces of bounded functions. Further, (W3) and (cW) ensure that these inductive limits have certain desirable properties. Note however, that we can not dispense with property (W3) if we want to obtain groups of controlled characters (whereas (cW) is not crucial in this regard). As an example consider the family

$$\omega_k(n) := e^{-\frac{n}{k}},\ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This family arises from growth bounds for Fourier coefficients in numerical analysis (we refer to [CMSS15] for details). It turns out that it is not a growth family in our sense but satisfies all properties (including convexity) except for (W3). We will return later to this example in Example 3.8 and see that the characters whose growth is bounded in this sense do not even form a group.

1.7 Definition (Graded index sets) A *graded index set* $(J, |\cdot|)$ is a set J, together with a *grading*, i.e. a function $|\cdot| : J \to \mathbb{N}_0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we use the notation

$$J_n := \{\, \tau \in J \,||\tau| = n \,\}.$$

We call $(J, |\cdot|)$ *pure* if $J_0 = \emptyset$, *connected* if J_0 has exactly one element, and *of finite type* if every J_n is finite.

1.8 Given a graded index set $(J, |\cdot|)$, define the vector space

$$\mathbb{K}^{(J)} := \left\{ \sum_{\tau \in J} c_\tau \cdot \tau \middle| \text{all but finitely many } c_\tau \text{ are zero} \right\}$$

of formal linear combinations of elements of J. This is a graded vector space via

$$\mathbb{K}^{(J)} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{K}^{(J_n)}.$$

Conversely, every graded vector can be obtained in this fashion (using a non-canonical choice of a basis of each step). We will always think of J as a subset (the standard basis) of $\mathbb{K}^{(J)}$.

We now recall some facts on weighted sequence spaces (see e.g. [FW68]) which will allow us to build model spaces for our characters later on.

1.9 (Weighted ℓ^1-spaces induced by growth families) Given a graded index set $(J, |\cdot|)$ and a growth family $(\omega_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. We interpret the elements of the growth family as weights and define a weighted norm on $\mathbb{K}^{(J)}$ as follows

$$\left\| \sum_{\tau \in J} c_\tau \cdot \tau \right\|_{\ell^1_k} := \sum_{\tau \in J} |c_\tau| \, \omega_k(|\tau|).$$

The completion with respect to this norm is the Banach space

$$\ell^1_k(J) := \left\{ \sum_{\tau \in J} c_\tau \cdot \tau, \ c_\tau \in \mathbb{K} \middle| \sum_{\tau \in J} |c_\tau| \, \omega_k(|\tau|) < \infty \right\}$$

which is isometrically isomorphic to the well-known Banach space $\ell^1(J)$ (cf. [MV97, Section 7]) via

$$\ell^1_k(J) \to \ell^1(J), \quad \sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \mapsto \sum_\tau c_\tau \omega_k(|\tau|) \cdot \tau.$$

Note that for a given growth family, $\ell^1_{k+1}(J)$ is a subspace of $\ell^1_k(J)$ (see Lemma B.1). Hence every graded index set together with a growth family gives rise to a sequence of Banach spaces

$$\ell^1_1(J) \supseteq \ell^1_2(J) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \ell^1_k(J) \supseteq \ell^1_{k+1}(J) \cdots .$$

The inclusions in the above sequence are continuous linear with operator norm at most 1 (see again Lemma B.1). Thus one can consider the projective limit (in the category of locally convex spaces)

$$\ell^1_{\leftarrow}(J) := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \ell^1_k(J) = \varprojlim_k \ell^1_k(J) = \left\{ \sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \middle| \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_\tau |c_\tau| \, \omega_k(|\tau|) < \infty \right\}.$$

This space is a Fréchet space. And if $(J, |\cdot|)$ is of finite type it is even a Fréchet -Schwartz space[8] (see [MV97, p. 303] for more information).

In the following, we will often have to consider the continuity of maps $\ell^1_{\leftarrow}(J) \to \ell^1_{\leftarrow}(I)$ which arise as extensions of linear mappings $T\colon \mathbb{K}^{(J)} \to \mathbb{K}^{(I)}$. One can prove the following criterion for the continuity of the extension (we defer the proof to Appendix B).

1.10 Lemma *Let $(J, |\cdot|)$ and $(I, |\cdot|)$ be two graded index sets. Then a linear map $T\colon \mathbb{K}^{(J)} \to \mathbb{K}^{(I)}$ extends to a (unique) continuous operator $\widetilde{T}\colon \ell^1_{\leftarrow}(J) \to \ell^1_{\leftarrow}(I)$, if and only if for each $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|T\tau\|_{\ell^1_{k_1}} \leq C\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|) \quad \textit{for all } \tau \in J.$$

Passage to spaces of bounded functions

In this section we construct the model spaces for the controlled character groups. These arise as weighted ℓ^∞ spaces with values in a Banach space. Hence throughout this section, we choose and fix a Banach space B (over $\mathbb{K}$) and let $(J, |\cdot|)$ be a graded index set. Further, the spaces of controlled functions encountered will always be constructed with respect to some choice of growth family $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$.

In this setting we can define controlled functions and Banach spaces of controlled functions.

1.11 (Controlled functions) (a) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A function $f\colon J \to B$ is called *k-controlled* if $\sup_\tau \frac{\|f(\tau)\|_B}{\omega_k(|\tau|)} < \infty$. The space of all k-controlled functions

$$\ell^\infty_k(J, B) := \left\{ f\colon J \to B \middle| \sup_\tau \frac{\|f(\tau)\|_B}{\omega_k(|\tau|)} < \infty \right\}$$

with the norm $\|f\|_{\ell^\infty_k} := \sup_\tau \frac{\|f(\tau)\|_B}{\omega_k(|\tau|)}$ is a Banach space. In fact, the mapping

$$\ell^\infty_k(J, B) \to \ell^\infty(J, B) = \left\{ f\colon J \to B \mid \sup_\tau \|f(\tau)\|_B < \infty \right\}, \quad f \mapsto \frac{f}{\omega_k(|\cdot|)}.$$

is an isometric isomorphism to the Banach space $\ell^\infty(J, B)$. Since growth families satisfy (W1), it is easy to see that $\ell^\infty_k(J, B) \subseteq \ell^\infty_{k+1}(J, B)$ and the inclusion map

$$\ell^\infty_k(J, B) \to \ell^\infty_{k+1}(J, B), \quad f \mapsto f$$

is a continuous operator with operator norm at most 1 for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

[8] Iteratively constructing indices which satisfy (W3) yields a cofinal sequence with compact inclusion maps $I^{k_2}_{k_1}$, $I^{k_3}_{k_2}, \ldots$, whence the limit is a Fréchet -Schwartz space.

(b) A function $f\colon J \to B$ is called *controlled* if there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that f is k-controlled, i.e. $f \in \ell^\infty_k(J,B)$. The *space of all controlled functions* is denoted by $\ell^\infty_\to(J,B)$. We turn this space into a locally convex space by identifying it with the locally convex direct limit

$$\begin{aligned}\ell^\infty_\to(J,B) &:= \left\{ f\colon J \to B \,\middle|\, \text{there is a } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \sup_\tau \frac{\|f(\tau)\|_B}{\omega_k(|\tau|)} < \infty \right\} \\ &= \varinjlim_k \ell^\infty_k(J,B)\end{aligned}$$

where the bonding maps of the limit are the continuous inclusions from (a).

The spaces of controlled functions will allow us to build model spaces for the Lie groups which are constructed later. We will now discuss properties of spaces of controlled functions. Beginning with the k-controlled functions we will establish that the space of all k-controlled functions is closely connected to certain linear maps. This will explain in which sense characters of Hopf algebras are k-controlled.

1.12 Definition Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and endow $\mathbb{K}^{(J)}$ with the ℓ^1_k norm. A continuous linear map $\varphi\colon \mathbb{K}^{(J)} \to B$ is called *k-controlled*. Note that every k-controlled linear map extends (uniquely) to a continuous linear map $\ell^1_k(J) \to B$ on the completion, which has the same operator norm.

1.13 As J is a basis of the vector space $\mathbb{K}^{(J)}$, a linear map $\varphi\colon \mathbb{K}^{(J)} \to B$ is uniquely determined by its values on J. This yields an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{K}^{(J)}, B) \to B^J, \quad \varphi \mapsto f_\varphi := \varphi|_J$$

with inverse $B^J \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{K}^{(J)}, B)$, $f \mapsto \varphi_f$, where $\varphi_f\colon \mathbb{K}^{(J)} \to B$ is the unique linear map extending $f\colon J \to B$. It is not hard to see that this isomorphism induces an isometric isomorphism $\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}_\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{K}^{(J)}, B) \mid f \text{ is } k\text{−controlled}\} \to \ell^\infty_k(J,B)$. For the readers convenience a proof of this fact is recorded in Lemma B.2

With the direct limit topology $\ell^\infty_\to(J,B)$ is an (LB)-space, i.e. a direct limit of an injective sequence of Banach spaces. In general, (LB)-spaces may not be well-behaved, e.g. they may fail to be Hausdorff. However, one can prove the following.

1.14 Proposition (Properties of $\ell^\infty_\to(J,B)$) *Let $((J,|\cdot|))$ be a graded index set, $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ a growth family and B be a Banach space. Then the following is satisfied.*

(a) *The linear map $\ell^\infty_\to(J,B) \to B^J$, $f \mapsto f$ is continuous (where B^J is endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence). Thus $\ell^\infty_\to(J,B)$ is a Hausdorff space.*

(b) *The natural linear map*

$$\ell^\infty_\to(J,B) \to \operatorname{Hom}\left(\ell^1_\leftarrow(J), B\right), \quad f \mapsto \left(\sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \mapsto \sum_\tau c_\tau f(\tau) \right)$$

is bijective and continuous (where $\mathrm{Hom}\left(\ell^1_{\leftarrow}(J), B\right)$ *is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets (the so called strong topology)).*

(c) *Assume that* $(J, |\cdot|)$ *is pure. Then for every* $r > 0$ *and every controlled function* f *there is a* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $\|f\|_{\ell^\infty_k} < r$*. In other words*

$$\ell^\infty_\to(J, B) = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} B_r^{\ell^\infty_k(J,B)}(0)\,.$$

(d) *The space* $\ell^\infty_\to(J, B)$ *is complete, if one of the following statements hold*

- *The growth family is convex*
- $(J, |\cdot|)$ *is of finite type and* B *is finite-dimensional.*

It is not clear to the authors up to this point whether the linear map in part (b) may fail to be a topological isomorphism for some choices of $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(J, |\cdot|)$, and B. However, we will not need that fact at all.

Proof. (a) Follows directly from the universal property of locally convex direct limits applied to the family $\ell^\infty_k(J, B) \to B^J, f \mapsto f$. Since B^J is Hausdorff, continuity of the inclusion implies that $\ell^\infty_\to(J, B)$ is Hausdorff as well.

(b) Continuity of the linear map follows directly of the universal property of locally convex direct limits applied to the family

$$\ell^\infty_k(J, B) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\ell^1_k(J), B) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\ell^1_{\leftarrow}(J), B).$$

Clearly the map is injective and it is surjective by Lemma B.3.

(c) Consider $f \in \ell^\infty_\to(J, B)$ and choose $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f \in \ell^\infty_{k_1}(J, B)$. By property (W3) there is a $k_2 \geq k_1$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_2}} = \sup_{\tau\in J} \frac{\|f(\tau)\|_B}{\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|)} \overset{(W3)}{\leq} \sup_{\tau\in J} \frac{\|f(\tau)\|_B}{2^{|\tau|}\cdot\omega_{k_1}(|\tau|)} \leq \sup_{\tau\in J} \frac{\|f(\tau)\|_B}{2\cdot\omega_{k_1}(|\tau|)} = \frac{1}{2}\cdot\|f\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_1}}\,.$$

In the second inequality we used the fact that $|\tau| \geq 1$ since $(J, |\cdot|)$ is pure by assumption.

Repeating this process, we get a subsequence $(k_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\left(\|f\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_m}}\right)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero. In particular, there is a number k_m such that $\|f\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_m}}$ is less than r. □

(d) By [Wen03, Corollary 6.5], completeness of an (LB)-space follows if we can show that the limit $\ell^\infty_\to(J, B)$ is compactly regular, i.e. for every compact set $K \subseteq \ell^\infty_\to(J, B)$ there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that K is a compact subset of $\ell^\infty_k(J, B)$. If the growth family is convex, compact regularity follows from Lemma B.4. If on the other hand, $(J, |\cdot|)$ is of finite type and the Banach space B is finite-dimensional, we obtain compact regularity from Lemma B.5.

2. Analytic manifolds of controlled characters on free monoids

Throughout this section, let B be a fixed commutative[9] Banach algebra, i.e. a commutative associative unital $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with a complete submultiplicative norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ such that $\|1_B\|_B = 1$. Further, we fix a (convex) growth family $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ with respect to which all weighted sequence spaces, e.g. $\ell^\infty_k(J,B)$, in this section will be constructed.

2.1 Definition (Graded monoid) A *graded monoid* $(M,|\cdot|)$ is a monoid M, together with a monoid homomorphism

$$|\cdot| : M \to (\mathbb{N}_0, +).$$

In particular, every graded monoid is a graded index set in the sense of Definition 1.7.

For each graded monoid $(M,|\cdot|)$ the vector space $\mathbb{K}^{(M)}$ of finite formal linear combinations introduced in 1.8 becomes a graded associative unital algebra by extending the monoid multiplication bilinearly.

2.2 Example Let $(\Sigma,|\cdot|)$ be a graded index set. There are two natural ways of constructing a graded monoid over $\mathbb{K}\in\{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}\}$ from $(\Sigma,|\cdot|)$.

(a) The *free monoid* Σ^* (also called the *word monoid*) over the alphabet Σ consists of all finite words. The grade of a word $w\in\Sigma^*$ is the sum of the grades of the letters of w. The neutral element of Σ^* is the empty word (whose grade is zero). The unital algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle\Sigma\rangle := \mathbb{K}^{(\Sigma^*)}$ is the noncommutative polynomial algebra over the alphabet Σ, which is *free algebra* (or *tensor algebra*) over the vector space $\mathbb{K}^{(\Sigma)}$.

(b) Identify two words in Σ^* when they agree up to a permutation of the letters to obtain the *free commutative monoid* $\Sigma^\dagger$. This is a graded monoid with respect to the same grading. The unital algebra $\mathbb{K}[\Sigma] := \mathbb{K}^{(\Sigma^\dagger)}$ is the commutative polynomial algebra over the alphabet Σ (the symmetric algebra over the vector space $\mathbb{K}^{(\Sigma)}$).

In both examples, the grading on the monoid is connected if and only if the grading on Σ is pure. Furthermore, the monoid is of finite type if and only if $(\Sigma,|\cdot|)$ is of finite type.

2.3 By a B-valued *character* of the graded monoid $(M,|\cdot|)$ we mean a monoid homomorphism $\chi\colon M\to(B,\cdot)$. The isomorphism of 1.13 maps the characters of M bijectively to the characters of the algebra $\mathbb{K}^{(M)}$, i.e. the algebra homomorphisms of $\mathbb{K}^{(M)}$ to the unital algebra B.

[9] Most of the results will hold for noncommutative algebras as well – but in Section 3 we will need commutativity anyway.

2.4 Definition (Controlled characters) Let M be a graded monoid. A character $\chi\colon M \to B$ is called a *controlled character* of the monoid M if it is a controlled function, i.e. $\chi \in \ell^\infty_\to(M,B)$. The set of controlled characters will be denoted by $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(M,B)$.

We endow $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(M,B)$ with the subspace topology induced by the identification with a a subset of the locally convex vector space $\ell^\infty_\to(M,B)$.

The main result of this section will be the fact that for the case that M is a free monoid, this subset is very well-behaved, in particular it is an analytic submanifold (see Proposition 2.8).

2.5 Lemma *Let $\chi\colon M \to B$ be a B-valued character. Assume that M is (as a monoid) generated by a subset $\Sigma \subseteq M$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\chi \in \ell^\infty_k(M,B)$ *with* $\|\chi\|_{\ell^\infty_k} = 1$

(ii) $\chi|_\Sigma \in \ell^\infty_k(\Sigma,B)$ *with* $\|\chi|_\Sigma\|_{\ell^\infty_k} \leq 1$

Proof. It is obvious that (i) implies (ii). To show the converse, assume that $\chi|_\Sigma$ is k-controlled with norm at most 1. This means that for each $\tau \in \Sigma$ we have the estimate:

$$\|\chi(\tau)\|_B \leq \omega_k(|\tau|).$$

We will now show that $\chi\colon M \to B$ is also k-controlled of norm at most 1. Let $w = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_\ell \in M$ be a finite word of length $\ell \geq 1$. Then we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi(w)\|_B = \|\chi(\tau_1\cdots\tau_\ell)\|_B = \|\chi(\tau_1)\cdots\chi(\tau_\ell)\|_B &\leq \|\chi(\tau_1)\|_B \cdots \|\chi(\tau_\ell)\|_B \\ &\leq \omega_k(|\tau_1|)\cdots\omega_k(|\tau_\ell|) \overset{(W2)}{\leq} \omega_k(|\tau_1|\cdots|\tau_\ell|) = \omega_k(|\tau_1\cdots\tau_\ell|) \\ &= \omega_k(|w|).\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that this also holds for the empty word:

$$\|\chi(1_M)\|_B = \|1_B\|_B = 1 \overset{(W1)}{=} \omega_k(0) = \omega_k(|1_M|). \qquad \square$$

Note that we need the following Proposition only for monoids over the field of complex numbers. It is worth noting however, that an easy complexification argument yields an analogous statement for monoids over the real numbers.

2.6 Proposition *Let $(\Sigma, |\cdot|)$ be a graded index set, $M = \Sigma^*$ or $M = \Sigma^\dagger$ and fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume further, that B is a commutative Banach algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Then every k-controlled function $f \in \ell^\infty_k(\Sigma,B)$ with $\|f\|_{\ell^\infty_k} < 1/2$ uniquely extends to a k-controlled character $\chi_f \in \ell^\infty_k(M,B)$ with $\|\chi_f\|_{\ell^\infty_k} \leq 1$ and the (nonlinear) extension operator*

$$\Psi_k\colon B_{1/2}^{\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma,B)}(0) \to \ell^\infty_k(M,B), \quad f \mapsto \chi_f$$

between open subsets of Banach spaces is of class $C^\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. **Step 1: Ψ_k is well-defined.** The universal property of the free monoid (or the free commutative monoid, respectively) allows one to uniquely extend $f\colon \Sigma \to B$ to a character $\chi_f\colon M \to B$ (using multiplicative continuation). By Lemma 2.5 it follows that this extension χ_f is k-controlled with operator norm 1, if $f \in B_1^{\ell_k^\infty(\Sigma,B)}(0)$. Therefore, the extension operator Ψ_k is well-defined.

Step 2: Ψ_k is continuous. Let $f_0 \in B_{1/2}^{\ell_k^\infty(\Sigma,B)}(0)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We may assume that $\varepsilon \le 1$. Let $f \in B_{1/2}^{\ell_k^\infty(\Sigma,B)}(0)$ such that $f_1 := f - f_0$ has norm $\|f_1\|_{\ell_k^\infty} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. We will show that

$$\|\chi_{f_0+f_1} - \chi_{f_0}\|_{\ell_k^\infty} \le \varepsilon.$$

Clearly it suffices to prove that for every word $w \in M$ of length $\ell \ge 0$ we have

$$\|\chi_{f_0+f_1}(w) - \chi_{f_0}(w)\|_B \le \varepsilon \cdot \omega_k(|w|).$$

For the empty word $w = 1_M$ this is trivially true since the left hand side of the inequality is zero as characters map the unit to the unit. So, let $w = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_\ell$ be a word of length $\ell \ge 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\chi_{f_0+f_1}(w) - \chi_{f_0}(w)\|_B = \left\| \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (f_0(\tau_j) + f_1(\tau_j)) - \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} f_0(\tau_j) \right\|_B \\
&= \left\| \sum_{\alpha\in\{0,1\}^\ell} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} f_{\alpha(j)}(\tau_j) - \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} f_0(\tau_j) \right\|_B = \left\| \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\{0,1\}^\ell \\ \alpha\neq 0}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} f_{\alpha(j)}(\tau_j) \right\|_B \\
&\le \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\{0,1\}^\ell \\ \alpha\neq 0}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \|f_{\alpha(j)}(\tau_j)\|_B \le \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\{0,1\}^\ell \\ \alpha\neq 0}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(\|f_{\alpha(j)}\|_{\ell_k^\infty} \omega_k(|\tau_j|) \right) \\
&\le \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\{0,1\}^\ell \\ \alpha\neq 0}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{|\alpha^{-1}(1)|} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|\alpha^{-1}(0)|} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \omega_k(|\tau_j|) \overset{\text{(W2)}}{\le} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\{0,1\}^\ell \\ \alpha\neq 0}} \underbrace{\varepsilon^{|\alpha^{-1}(1)|}}_{\le\varepsilon} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\ell} \omega_k(|w|) \\
&= (2^\ell - 1)\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\ell} \omega_k(|w|) < \varepsilon \cdot \omega_k(|w|).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Ψ_k is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic. For each $w \in M$, define the operator

$$\pi_w\colon \ell_k^\infty(M,B) \to B, \quad h \mapsto h(w).$$

The operator π_w is continuous by Proposition 1.14(a) and the family

$$\Lambda := \{\, \varphi \circ \pi_w | \varphi \in B'; w \in M \,\}$$

separates the points of $\ell^\infty_k(M,B)$ as the topological dual B' separates the points of B by the Hahn-Banach Theorem. Hence the continuous operator Ψ_k will be $\mathbb{C}$-analytic by Proposition A.5 if $\pi_w \circ \Psi_k$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic. If $w = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_\ell \in M$, the map

$$\pi_w \circ \Psi_k \colon \ell^\infty_k(\Sigma, B) \to B, \quad f \mapsto f(\tau_1) \cdots f(\tau_\ell)$$

is a continuous ℓ-homogeneous polynomial (in f), whence $\mathbb{C}$-analytic (cf. [BS71a]). □

We will use this proposition now to prove the analogue result in the (LB)-space case:

2.7 Proposition *Let $(\Sigma, |\cdot|)$ be a pure graded index set and let $M = \Sigma^*$ or $M = \Sigma^\dagger$. Then every controlled function $f \in \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B)$ has a unique extension to a controlled character $\chi_f \in G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(M,B) \subseteq \ell^\infty_\to(M,B)$ and the (nonlinear) extension operator*

$$\Psi \colon \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B) \to \ell^\infty_\to(M, B), \quad f \mapsto \chi_f$$

is of class $C^\omega_{\mathbb{K}}$, i.e. it is $\mathbb{K}$-analytic.

Proof. Since Σ is pure, we may apply part (c) of Proposition 1.14. Hence, the locally convex space $\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B)$ is the union of the balls $B^{\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma,B)}_{1/2}(0)$. So, every controlled function is k-controlled with norm $< 1/2$ for a large enough $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we can deduce from Proposition 2.6 that every controlled function admits an extension which is also controlled, whence the extension operator makes sense.

If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, the analyticity is a direct application of [Dah10, Theorem A] which ensures the complex analyticity of a function defined on the directed union of balls of the same radius (in this case $1/2$) if the function is complex analytic and bounded on each step which is ensured in this case by Proposition 2.6.

For the rest of the proof, let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$.

We start by complexifying the real Banach algebra B to obtain the complex Banach algebra $B_{\mathbb{C}} = B \oplus iB$ which contains B as a closed real subalgebra. It is possible to choose the norm on $B_{\mathbb{C}} = B \oplus iB$ such that it induces the given norm on B, i.e. B is isometrically embedded into $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see [BS71b, Section 2] and [MST99]). For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the complex Banach space $\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma, B_{\mathbb{C}}) = \ell^\infty_k(\Sigma, B \oplus iB)$ can be decomposed (algebraically and topologically) as a direct sum of the two closed real subspaces

$$\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma, B_{\mathbb{C}}) = \ell^\infty_k(\Sigma, B) \oplus i\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma, B)$$

And since finite direct sums and direct limits commute nicely (see e.g. [HSTH01, Theorem 3.4]), we may conclude that

$$\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B_{\mathbb{C}}) = \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B) \oplus i\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B)$$

algebraically and topologically. In particular the complex space $\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B_{\mathbb{C}})$ induces the correct topology on the closed real subspace $\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B)$.

We have already shown that the complex extension operator

$$\Psi_{\mathbb{C}} \colon \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \ell^\infty_\to(M, B_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

is complex analytic. By restricting domain and range to the corresponding real subspaces we obtain the real operator $\Psi\colon \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B) \to \ell^\infty_\to(M, B)$ which is therefore real analytic (see Definition A.3). □

2.8 Proposition (Controlled characters form a submanifold) *Let $(\Sigma, |\cdot|)$ be a graded index set and let $M = \Sigma^*$ or $M = \Sigma^\dagger$. Assume that $(\Sigma, |\cdot|)$ is pure, i.e. that M is connected. Then the set of all controlled characters*

$$G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(M, B)$$

is a $C^\omega_{\mathbb{K}}$-submanifold of the locally convex space $\ell^\infty_\to(M, B)$ of all controlled functions on the monoid M. A global chart for $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(M, B)$ is given by the following $C^\omega_{\mathbb{K}}$-diffeomorphism

$$\mathrm{res}\colon G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(M, B) \to \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B), \quad \chi \mapsto \chi|_\Sigma.$$

Proof. Let $J := M \setminus \Sigma$ the set of all words with length different from 1. Then by [HSTH01, Theorem 3.4] we have an isomorphism

$$\ell^\infty_\to(M, B) \cong \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B) \times \ell^\infty_\to(J, B)$$

of locally convex spaces. The operator

$$\Psi\colon \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B) \to G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(M, B) \subseteq \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B), \quad f \mapsto \chi_f$$

is $C^\omega_{\mathbb{K}}$ by Proposition 2.7. Now, consider the projection map

$$\pi_J\colon \ell^\infty_\to(M, B) \to \ell^\infty_\to(J, B), \quad h \mapsto h|_J$$

which is continuous linear and hence the composition

$$\pi_J \circ \Phi\colon \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B) \to \ell^\infty_\to(J, B)$$

is $C^\omega_{\mathbb{K}}$ as well which implies that the graph of $\pi_J \circ \Psi$ is a closed split submanifold of $\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B) \times \ell^\infty_\to(J, B)$ which is $C^\omega_{\mathbb{K}}$-diffeomorphic to the domain. Using the above identification, this graph becomes the set $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(M, B)$. As the graph of an analytic function is an analytic submanifold (the argument in the setting of locally convex manifolds coincides with the standard argument for finite-dimensional manifolds, cf. [Lee13, Example 1.30]), the assertion follows. □

3. Lie groups of controlled characters on Hopf algebras

In this section we construct the Lie group structure for groups of controlled characters of suitable Hopf algebras. To this end, we have to restrict our choice of Hopf algebras.

3.1 Definition Consider a graded and connected Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ together with $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. We call $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ a *combinatorial Hopf algebra* if $\mathcal{H}$ is, as an associative algebra, isomorphic to a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[\Sigma]$ or $\mathbb{K}\langle\Sigma\rangle$.

Observe that the isomorphism realising a combinatorial Hopf algebra as a polynomial algebra is part of the structure of the combinatorial Hopf algebra. Recall that any *commutative* graded and connected Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[\Sigma]$ by a suitable version of the Milnor–Moore theorem. We refer to [Car07, Theorem 3.8.3] for the statement together with a proof. However, the term "combinatorial Hopf algebra" refers to the rôle of Σ in our main examples: Usually Σ will be a set of combinatorial objects (trees, partitions, permutations, etc.). Hence in our main examples, the Hopf algebra structure will encode combinatorial information. The estimates considered in the following are always understood with respect to the basis of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[\Sigma]$ (or $\mathbb{K}\langle\Sigma\rangle$). In the case of the shuffle algebra (which we discuss now) this is somewhat annoying, as one needs to compute in the unwieldy basis of Lyndon words.

3.2 Example (The shuffle Hopf algebra [Reu93]) Consider the word monoid $\mathcal{A}^*$ of a non empty alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ and the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$. Further we fix a function $\rho\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{N}$ of the alphabet, which induces a grading

$$|\cdot|\colon \mathbb{K}\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle \to \mathbb{N}, a_1 \dots a_n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(a_i)$$

Let $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ and $w' = a_{n+1} \dots a_{n+m}$ be words of length n and m, respectively. Define the *shuffle product* by

$$w \sqcup\!\sqcup w' := \sum_{\{i_1<i_2<\dots<i_n\},\{j_1<j_2<\dots<j_m\}} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n} a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m},$$

where the summation runs through all disjoint sets which satisfy

$$\{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n\} \sqcup \{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m\} = \{1, \dots, m+n\}.$$

The (bilinear extensions of the) shuffle product and the deconcatenation of words

$$\Delta(a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_i \otimes a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+2} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_n$$

turns $\mathbb{K}\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$ into a graded and connected $\mathbb{K}$-bialgebra (i.e. a Hopf algebra). However, an explicit formula for the antipode is available as

$$S(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = (-1)^n a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_1, \quad \forall a_1, \dots a_n \in \Sigma.$$

We call the resulting commutative Hopf algebra $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \rho)$ the *shuffle Hopf algebra*. The shuffle Hopf algebra usually appearing in the literature (e.g. [Reu93]) is constructed with respect to $\rho \equiv 1$.

Now assume that there is a total order "$\leq$" on the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ and order words in $\mathcal{A}^*$ by the induced lexicographical ordering. Then one defines the "Lyndon words" as those words $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ which satisfy that for every non trivial splitting $w = uv$ the condition $u < v$ holds. A theorem by Radford (cf. [Reu93, Section 6] or [Hof00]) shows that the shuffle Hopf algebra is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[\Sigma]$, where Σ is the set of Lyndon words in $\mathcal{A}^*$. Summing up, $(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}, \rho), \Sigma)$ is a combinatorial Hopf algebra

Recall that shuffle Hopf algebras appears in diverse applications connected to numerical analysis, see e.g. [MKW08, MSS15, MSS16, LMK11]. Also they appear in the work of Fliess in control theory (we refer to [Obe85, Section 6, Example (5)] for an account). Furthermore, there are generalisations of the shuffle Hopf algebra, e.g. the Quasi-shuffle Hopf algebras [Hof00], which are also combinatorial Hopf algebras.

3.3 Definition Let $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ be a combinatorial Hopf algebra and $(\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a growth family. We call $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ *control pair* if with respect to the growth family $(\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ comultiplication $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}} \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and antipode $S_{\mathcal{H}} \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ are $\ell^1_{\leftarrow}$-continuous (see Lemma 1.10).[10]

3.4 Throughout this section, assume that B is a commutative Banach algebra, $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a fixed convex growth family, $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ a combinatorial Hopf algebra. In addition, we assume that $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}})$ is a control pair. As a vector space, $\mathcal{H}$ is hence isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}^{(M)}$, where $M = \Sigma^\dagger$ or $M = \Sigma^*$, respectively.

A $\mathbb{K}$-linear map $\varphi \colon \mathcal{H} \to B$ is *controlled* if its restriction to M is controlled (in the sense of 1.11), i.e. if $\varphi|_M \in \ell^\infty_\rightarrow(M, B)$. By slight abuse of notation, we will denote the space of all controlled linear maps by $\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\mathcal{H}, B)$.

Hopf algebras building of similar type as in Example 5.2 occur often in the theory of renormalisation of quantum field theory. We refer for example to [DFHN$^+$14] for an example of such a non-commutative "combinatorial Hopf algebra" built from graphs. Though the coproduct of this Hopf algebra is explicitly given (and somewhat similar to the one from Example 5.2), the antipode is defined through a recursion formula. We will now briefly discuss this general recursion formula in the next remark.

3.5 Remark Recall that the coproduct in a graded and connected Hopf algebra can be written as $\Delta(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes x + x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} + \overline{\Delta}(x)$, where $\overline{\Delta} \colon \mathcal{H} \to \bigoplus_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_m$ denotes the reduced coproduct. Recall that in Sweedler notation the reduced coproduct can be written as $\overline{\Delta}(x) = \sum_{(x)} x' \otimes x''$. It is well known (cf. [Man08, Corollary 5]) that for a graded and connected Hopf Algebra $\mathcal{H}$ the antipode is given by one of the recursion

[10] In order for this to make sense, we use the identifications $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{K}^{(M)}$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{K}^{(M\times M)}$, where $M = \Sigma^\dagger$ or $M = \Sigma^*$, respectively.

formula

$$S(x) = -x - \sum_{(x)} S(x')x'' \tag{2}$$

$$= -x - \sum_{(x)} x' S(x''). \tag{3}$$

Naively one may hope to derive from this formula the ℓ^1-continuity (with respect to the growth families from Proposition 1.4) if one can establish the ℓ^1-continuity of the coproduct first. However, this fails even in easy cases, where the ℓ^1-continuity of the coproduct is almost trivial. For example for the combinatorial Hopf algebra [DFHN$^+$14] of (decorated) graphs, the antipode is given by

$$S(\Gamma, D) = -(\Gamma, D) - \sum_{\emptyset \subsetneq (\Lambda, F) \subsetneq (\Gamma, D)} S((\Lambda, F)) \otimes (\Gamma/\Lambda, D/F)).$$

Here we hide all technicalities (e.g. on the graphs and the decorations). For us, the crucial observation is that all coefficients occurring are ± 1 and thus it suffices to count summands in the recursion. As Λ is a subgraph of Γ and the grading is by number of edges. Assume that Γ has n edges, then in the kth step of the recursion at most 2^{n-k} summands. However, iterating this, we obtain the (very rough) estimate that in a worst case one has to account for $2^n 2^{n-1} \cdots 2^1 \leq 2^{\frac{n^2+n}{2}}$ summands. In total, we thus obtain super exponential growth, whence we can not hope to obtain a control pair if we choose for example exponential growth. Summing up, even if the coproduct is very easy, the recursion formulae (2) and (3) can not be used to derive ℓ^1-continuity for the antipode.

The deeper reason why the recursion formula is unsuitable for our purposes is that it contains in general an enormous amount of terms which cancel each other. Thus a cancellation free, non-recursive formula would be desirable. In general however, it is a difficult combinatorial problem to construct such a formula. This problem has been dubbed the *antipode problem* [AM13, Section 5.4] (also cf. [AL13]). Recently a cancellation free formula, the so called preLie forest formula [MP15, Theorem 8] has been constructed for the so called *right handed Hopf algebras*. Note that the Hopf algebras considered in the present paper are often of this type (e.g. the Connes-Kreimer algebra of rooted trees 5.2 and the Faà di Bruno algebra (Example 5.4) are right handed Hopf algebras). Unfortunately, we were not able to establish the ℓ^1-continuity of the antipode from the preLie forest formula in this general setting.

3.6 Definition A linear map $\varphi\colon \mathcal{H} \to B$ is called

- (B-valued) *character* if it is a homomorphism of unital algebras, i.e.

  $$\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \varphi(1_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1_B. \tag{4}$$

  Another way of saying this is that φ is a character of the underlying monoid $(\mathcal{H}, m_{\mathcal{H}})$.

- *infinitesimal character* if

$$\varphi \circ m_{\mathcal{H}} = m_B \circ (\varphi \otimes \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \varphi), \tag{5}$$

  which means for $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$ that $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}(b) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}(a)\varphi(b)$.

The set of characters is denoted by $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$, while $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ denotes the set of all infinitesimal characters. Further, we let $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) = G(\mathcal{H}, B) \cap \ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\mathcal{H}, B)$ be the set of controlled Hopf algebra characters and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{H}, B) \cap \ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\mathcal{H}, B)$ be the set of controlled infinitesimal characters.

3.7 Definition (Convolution of controlled linear maps) Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ be two linear maps. We define the *convolution* of the linear maps φ and ψ to be

$$\varphi \star \psi := m_B \circ (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Here $m_B \colon B \otimes B \to B$ is the the linear map induced by the algebra multiplication.

The space $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ of all linear maps from $\mathcal{H}$ to B is an associative unital algebra with the convolution product and the neutral element $\mathbf{1} := 1_B \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}$.

It is well known that the convolution turns the set of characters $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$ into a group (see e.g. [BDS16, Section 2]). The definition of a growth family is geared towards turning the controlled functions into a subgroup of all characters. To emphasise this point, we will now return to the family of functions $(\omega_k(n) := e^{-\frac{n}{k}})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ discussed in Remark 1.6. For these functions the controlled characters, in general, do not form a group.

3.8 Example Recall that the family of functions $(\omega_k(n) := e^{-\frac{n}{k}})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ discussed in Remark 1.6 is not a growth family (it violates property (W3)). We will now see that for this reason characters which are controlled with respect to this family of functions (which is not a growth family!) do not form a group.

To this end, let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{K}[X]$ be the polynomial algebra in one indeterminate and endow it with the coproduct defined on the generators as $\Delta(X^i) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} X^k \otimes X^{i-k}$ and the grading $|X^i| = i$. With this structure, $\mathcal{H}$ becomes a graded, connected and commutative Hopf algebra (an antipode is given by $S(X^i) = (-1)^i X^i$ also cf. Remark 3.5). It is well known that in this case $(G(\mathbb{K}[X], \mathbb{K}), \star) \cong (\mathbb{K}, +)$ as groups (see e.g. [Wat79, Section 1.4]). One easily computes that the subset of characters which are controlled with respect to the family of functions $(\omega_k(n) := e^{-\frac{n}{k}})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ corresponds under the above group isomorphism to the set $\{x \in \mathbb{K} \mid |x| < 1\}$ which is not a subgroup of $(\mathbb{K}, +)$.

3.9 Proposition (Locally convex algebra of controlled maps) *The space $\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is a locally convex unital topological algebra with respect to convolution.*

Proof. Note that the counit $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{K}$ is automatically $\ell^1_{\leftarrow}$-continuous for a graded and connected Hopf algebra. This follows immediately from Lemma 1.10 as for $\mathcal{H}$ graded and connected $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}(x) = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } x = r\,\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathbb{K}\,\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}_0 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$
Hence the unit $\mathbf{1}$ is controlled, i.e. $\mathbf{1} = 1_B \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} \in \ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\mathcal{H}, B)$. We will now prove that $\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is closed under convolution.

To this end fix $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $\varphi, \psi \in \ell^\infty_{k_1}(\mathcal{H}, B)$. We will show that there is a $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi \star \psi \in \ell^\infty_{k_2}(\mathcal{H}, B)$. Let M denote the canonical basis of $\mathcal{H}$, i.e. $M = \Sigma^\dagger$ or $M = \Sigma^*$ (see 3.4). Since $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ is $\ell^1_\rightarrow$-continuous, we can choose $k_2 > k_1$ and $C > 0$ with

$$\|\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}(\tau)\|_{\ell^1_{k_1}} \leq C\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|) \quad \forall \tau \in M. \tag{6}$$

Let $\tau \in M$ be given. Write $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}(\tau)$ as a linear combination of the basis of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}(\tau) = \sum_{\mu,\sigma \in M} c_{\mu,\sigma}\mu \otimes \sigma.$$

we apply 6 to obtain:

$$\sum_{\mu,\sigma} |c_{\mu,\sigma}|\, \omega_{k_1}(|\mu| + |\sigma|) \leq C\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|). \tag{7}$$

This allows us the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi \star \psi(\tau)\|_B &= \|m_B \circ (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}(\tau)\|_B = \left\| \sum_{\mu,\sigma} c_{\mu,\sigma}\varphi(\mu)\psi(\sigma) \right\|_B \\
&\leq \sum_{\mu,\sigma} |c_{\mu,\sigma}|\, \|\varphi(\mu)\|_B \, \|\psi(\sigma)\|_B \\
&\leq \sum_{\mu,\sigma} |c_{\mu,\sigma}|\, \|\varphi|_M\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_1}} \omega_{k_1}(|\mu|)\, \|\psi|_M\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_1}} \omega_{k_1}(|\sigma|) \\
&\overset{(\mathrm{W2})}{\leq} \|\varphi|_M\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_1}} \|\psi|_M\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_1}} \sum_{\mu,\sigma} |c_{\mu,\sigma}|\, \omega_{k_1}(|\mu| + |\sigma|) \\
&\overset{7}{\leq} \|\varphi|_M\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_1}} \|\psi|_M\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_1}} C\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|).
\end{aligned}$$

Divide both sides of the inequality by $\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|)$ and pass to the supremum over $\tau \in M$ to see that $\varphi \star \psi$ is contained in $\ell^\infty_{k_2}(\mathcal{H}, B)$. By [HSTH01, Theorem 3.4] we have $\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(M, B) \times \ell^\infty_\rightarrow(M, B) = \lim_\rightarrow (\ell^\infty_k(M, B) \times \ell^\infty_k(M, B))$ as locally convex spaces. Hence the continuity of the convolution product follows from the continuity on the steps of the inductive limit (by [Dah10, Corollary 2.1]). □

3.10 Lemma *Consider the locally convex algebra $(\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\mathcal{H}, B), \star)$ (cf. Proposition 3.9) and the associated Lie algebra $(\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\mathcal{H}, B), [\cdot, \cdot])$, where $[\varphi, \psi] = \varphi \star \psi - \psi \star \varphi$ is the commutator bracket.*

(*a*) *The controlled characters* $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ *form a closed subgroup of the unit group of the locally convex algebra . Inversion in this group is given by the map* $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ S_{\mathcal{H}}$ *and the unit element is* $1_A := u_B \circ \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}} \colon \mathcal{H} \to B, x \mapsto \varepsilon_{\mathcal{H}}(x) 1_B$.

(*b*) *The controlled infinitesimal characters* $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ *form a closed Lie subalgebra of* $(\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\mathcal{H}, B), [\cdot, \cdot])$.

Proof. It is well known that the characters form a group with respect to the convolution and pre-composition with the antipode. Similarly, the infinitesimal characters form a Lie subalgebra. We refer to [Man08, 4.3 Proposition 21 and 22)] for detailed proofs.

For every controlled character, its inverse $\varphi \circ S$ is again a controlled character since the antipode map $S \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is $\ell^1_\leftarrow$-continuous by assumption.

It is clear from the definitions that $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ are closed subsets with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence and hence Proposition 1.14(a) implies the closedness with respect to the $\ell^\infty_\rightarrow$-topology. □

We will now establish the main result of this section and construct the Lie group structure for controlled character groups.

3.11 Theorem *Let* $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ *be a control pair and let* B *be a commutative Banach algebra. The group of* B*-valued controlled characters* $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ *is an analytic Lie group modelled on the (LB)-space* $\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\Sigma, B)$.

Proof. We use the fact the $\mathcal{H}$ is – as an algebra – isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}[\Sigma]$ or $\mathbb{K}\langle\Sigma\rangle$. Hence, by Proposition 2.8 the controlled characters form a closed split $\mathbb{K}$-analytic submanifold of the surrounding space $\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\mathcal{H}, B)$. Since convolution is analytic (even continuous bilinear) on the surrounding space by Proposition 3.9, it is still analytic when restricting to an analytic submanifold. So, group multiplication is analytic. Since the inverse of a character is given by pre-composition with the antipode map, inversion on the group is the restriction of a continuous linear map on the surrounding space and hence analytic as well. This turns $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ into a $\mathbb{K}$-analytic Lie group. As a manifold it is globally diffeomorphic the space $\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(\Sigma, B)$ by Proposition 2.8, so in particular it is modelled on that space. □

Before we identify the Lie algebra of the group of B-valued controlled characters let us recall the some facts on the full group of B-valued characters. In [BDS16, Theorem 2.8] we established the following structure theorem for character groups of Hopf algebras:

3.12 (**Lie group structure of** $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$) *Let* B *be a commutative Banach algebra and* $\mathcal{H}$ *be a graded and connected Hopf algebra (both over* $\mathbb{K}$*). Then the character group* $(G(\mathcal{H}, B), \star)$ *of* B*-valued characters is a* $\mathbb{K}$*-analytic Lie group whose Lie algebra*

is $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{H}, B)$*. The Lie group exponential is given by the exponential series*

$$\exp_{G(\mathcal{H},B)}\colon \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{H}, B) \to G(\mathcal{H}, B), \quad \varphi \mapsto \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{\varphi^{\star_n}}{n!},$$

where $\star_n$ *is the nfold convolution of* φ *with itself.*

Finally, the canonical inclusion $G(\mathcal{H}, B) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ *realises* $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$ *as a closed* $\mathbb{K}$*-analytic submanifold where the right hand side is endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence.*

Character groups of graded and connected Hopf algebras are infinite-dimensional Lie groups. The controlled character groups just constructed are naturally subgroups of the character groups and the inclusion turns out to be a Lie group morphism.

3.13 Lemma *Let* $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ *be a control pair and* $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ *the Lie group induced by it. The canonical inclusion* $\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}\colon G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \to G(\mathcal{H}, B)$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi$ *is a* $\mathbb{K}$*-analytic morphism of Lie groups.*

Proof. Obviously $\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}$ is a group morphism as $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is a subgroup of $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$. Hence we only have to prove that it is $\mathbb{K}$-analytic. As outlined in 3, the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is – as an algebra – either $\mathbb{K}[\Sigma]$ or $\mathbb{K}\langle\Sigma\rangle$ for some graded index set Σ. Let M be Σ^* or $\Sigma^\dagger$, respectively. Thus with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence we have an isomorphism of topological vector spaces $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \to B^M$ (compare 1.13). Proposition 1.14 (a) shows that the inclusion $I\colon \ell^\infty_\to(\mathcal{H}, B) \to B^M \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is continuous linear, whence $\mathbb{K}$-analytic. As $\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}$ arises as the (co-)restriction of I to the closed analytic submanifolds $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \subseteq \ell^\infty_\to(\mathcal{H}, B)$ and $G(\mathcal{H}, B) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ it is again $\mathbb{K}$-analytic. □

Note however, that the topology of the controlled character groups is finer than the subspace topology induced by the full character group. Thus the the Lie group structure of the controlled character groups does not turn them into Lie subgroups of the full character group. Using the Lie group morphism just constructed we can now identify the Lie algebra as the Lie algebra of all controlled infinitesimal characters with the following natural Lie bracket.

3.14 Proposition *The Lie algebra of the Lie group* $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ *induced by the control pair* $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$*, is given by* $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B), [\cdot, \cdot])$*, where the Lie bracket is the commutator bracket induced by the convolution*

$$[\varphi, \psi] = \varphi \star \psi - \psi \star \varphi.$$

As a locally convex vector space $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ *is isomorphic to* $\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B)$ *via the isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{res}\colon \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \to \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B), \quad \psi \mapsto \psi|_\Sigma$$

whose inverse extends a function $f \in \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B)$ to all words by assigning each word of length different from 1 to zero.

Proof. By Lemma 3.13, $\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}\colon G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \to G(\mathcal{H}, B),\ \chi \mapsto \chi$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-analytic morphism of Lie groups. Hence $\mathbf{L}(\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}) := T_{\mathbf{1}}\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}\colon \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \to \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is a morphism of Lie algebras. Now as $\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}$ arises as the restriction of a continuous linear map I to a $\mathbb{K}$-analytic submanifold, we deduce that $T_{\mathbf{1}}\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}$ is the restriction of $T_{\mathbf{1}}I$ which can be identified again with I. In conclusion $\mathbf{L}(\iota_{\mathcal{H},B})(\varphi) = \varphi$ for every $\varphi \in G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ by definition of I. Hence $[\cdot,\cdot] = \mathbf{L}(\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}) \circ [\cdot,\cdot] = [\mathbf{L}(\iota_{\mathcal{H},B})(\cdot), \mathbf{L}(\iota_{\mathcal{H},B})(\cdot)]_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{H},B)} = [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{H},B)}$. Summing up the assertion follows from the formula for the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{H}, B)$.

It remains to show the statement about the isomorphism. By Proposition 2.8 we know that the $C^\omega_{\mathbb{K}}$-manifold $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is diffeomorphic to $\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B)$ via the diffeomorphism

$$G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \to \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi|_\Sigma.$$

Taking the tangent map at the identity of $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ we obtain an isomorphism of the corresponding tangent spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ and $\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B)$. Since the diffeomorphism can be extended to the continuous linear map

$$\ell^\infty_\to(\mathcal{H}, B) \to \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi|_\Sigma$$

its tangent map at each point is also given by this exact formula. □

In Theorem 3.11 we have seen that the controlled characters of a real Hopf algebra form an analytic real Lie group. We will now establish that this group admits a complexification in the following sense (cf. [Glö15, 9.6]).

3.15 Definition Let G be a real analytic Lie group modelled on the locally convex space E and $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex analytic Lie group modelled on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a *complexification* of G if G is a real submanifold of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, the inclusion $G \to G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a group homomorphism and for each $g \in G$, there exists an open g-neighbourhood $V \subseteq G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and a complex analytic diffeomorphism $\varphi\colon V \to W \subseteq E_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\varphi(V \cap G) = W \cap E$.

Note that for a real Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$, also its complexification $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H} \oplus i\mathcal{H}$ (i the imaginary unit) is a Hopf algebra. If $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ is a control pair then, since $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}[\Sigma]$ or $\mathbb{R}\langle\Sigma\rangle$, clearly $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[\Sigma]$ or $\mathbb{C}\langle\Sigma\rangle$, respectively. For a commutative Banach algebra B denote by $B_{\mathbb{C}} = B \oplus iB$ the complexification of the Banach algebra B. We recall from [Boc70, Lemma 2] that $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ can again be made a Banach algebra such that its norm coincides on the subspace B with the original norm. One can thus immediately deduce from Lemma 1.10 the following Lemma

3.16 Lemma *If $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ is a control pair then $((\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}, \Sigma), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ is a control pair.*

Armed with this knowledge, we can now prove the following Proposition, which generalises the case of the complexification of the (tame) Butcher group discussed in [BS16, Corollary 2.8].

3.17 Proposition *Let B be a commutative real Banach algebra and $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ be a control pair such that $\mathcal{H}$ is a real Hopf algebra. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$ and $B_\mathbb{C}$ their complexifications. Then the complex Lie group*

(a) *$G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}, B_\mathbb{C})$ constructed in Theorem 3.11 is the complexification of the real Lie group $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$.*

(b) *$G(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}, B_\mathbb{C})$ (cf. 3.12) is the complexification of the real Lie group $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$.*

Summing up, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}, B_\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{\mathcal{H},B}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C},B_\mathbb{C}}} \\ G(\mathcal{H}, B) & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & G(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}, B_\mathbb{C}) \end{array} \tag{8}$$

Proof. (a) We consider the modelling space $E = \ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B)$ of the real analytic Lie group $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$. To see that the complexification of E is $\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma, B_\mathbb{C})$, we observe that by [HSTH01, Theorem 3.4] it suffices to prove that the complexification of each step $\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma, B)$ in the inductive limit is $\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma, B_\mathbb{C})$. However, this was already proved in the proof of Proposition 2.7. Using the canonical global charts of the controlled character groups, one immediately sees that the canonical inclusion $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \subseteq G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is a group morphism which realises $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ as a real analytic submanifold of $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$. Summing up, $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}, B_\mathbb{C})$ is the complexification of $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ in the sense of Definition 3.15 (taking φ to be the canonical chart).

(b) We remark that in this part of the proof we only require $\mathcal{H}$ to be graded and connected and B to be a commutative locally convex algebra. Recall from [BDS16, Theorem 2.7] that the Lie group $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is a closed analytic submanifold of the densely graded algebra $(\mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H}, B), \star)$. Here $\mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ carries the topology of pointwise convergence, i.e. $(\mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H}, B) \cong B^\mathcal{I}$, where the right hand side is endowed with the product topology and $\mathcal{I}$ is some (non canonical) choice of a vector space basis for $\mathcal{H}$. Note that $\mathcal{I}$ is then also a basis for the complex vector space $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C} = \mathcal{H} \oplus i\mathcal{H}$. Obviously, the canonical inclusion $B^\mathcal{I} \subseteq B^\mathcal{I}_\mathbb{C} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}, B_\mathbb{C})$ is a complexification of vector spaces, which restricts to a group morphism $G(\mathcal{H}, B) \to G(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}, B_\mathbb{C})$. We conclude that $G(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}, B_\mathbb{C})$ is indeed the complexification of $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$

Obviously, the diagram (8) is commutative by construction and all morphisms are ($\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{C}$-)analytic morphisms of Lie groups. □

3.18 Remark Part (b) of Proposition 3.17, is true for arbitrary connected and graded Hopf algebras, i.e. they need not be isomorphic (as associative algebras) to $\mathbb{K}[\Sigma]$ or $\mathbb{K}\langle\Sigma\rangle$. Further, the algebra B can be any (real) locally convex algebra.

We end this section with some comparison results concerning the groups of controlled characters of a combinatorial Hopf algebra with respect to different growth families.

3.19 Proposition *Let $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ be a combinatorial Hopf algebra. Fix two growth families such that $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}})$ and $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\widetilde{\omega}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}})$ are control pairs. For a commutative Banach algebra B denote by $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ (resp. $\widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{controlled}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$) the controlled characters with respect to $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ (resp. $(\widetilde{\omega}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$). If for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\omega_r(n) \leq \widetilde{\omega}_s(n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ then we obtain an analytic Lie group morphism*

$$G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \to \widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B), \varphi \mapsto \varphi.$$

Proof. The modelling space of the Lie group $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is the inductive limit of the Banach spaces $\ell^\infty_r(\Sigma, B)$. To distinguish the weights, we denote by $\ell^\infty_{r,\widetilde{\omega}_k}(\Sigma, B)$ the steps of the inductive limit forming the modelling space of $\widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$. Now the condition $\omega_r(n) \leq \widetilde{\omega}_s(n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ that there is a canonical inclusion of $\ell^\infty_r(\Sigma, B) \to \ell^\infty_{s,\widetilde{\omega}_k}(\Sigma, B)$ for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$. These maps are continuous linear and composing with the limit maps of the steps $\ell^\infty_{s,\widetilde{\omega}_k}$ we obtain continuous linear inclusions of the steps $\ell^\infty_r(\Sigma, B)$ into the modelling space of $\widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$. By the universal property of the inductive limit, we thus obtain a continuous linear inclusion of the modelling space of $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ into the one of $\widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$. Composing with the global charts of the groups of controlled characters, we obtain exactly the analytic Lie group morphism described in the proposition. □

4. Regularity of controlled character groups

In this chapter we discuss regularity in the sense of Milnor for groups of controlled characters. Our approach here follows the general strategy outlined in [BS16, Section 4]:

First recall from [BDS16, Theorem B] that the full character group $G(\mathcal{H}, B)$ is C^0-regular. Hence to establish semiregularity, we prove that solutions of the differential equations in the full character group factor through $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ for suitable initial data. We then prove that under certain assumptions one obtains smooth solutions of the equations on the controlled character group.

In a second step, we use then inductive limit techniques to establish the smoothness of the evolution operator. As a consequence the controlled character groups will even be regular.

Note that we needed certain assumptions to obtain the estimates necessary for our strategy. These methods apparently do not allow us to establish a general regularity result. The reason for this is that it is very hard to obtain the necessary estimates for

general combinatorial Hopf algebras. Since we also want to establish regularity properties for the controlled character groups of complex Hopf algebras a few conventions and remarks are needed:

Recall that complex analytic maps are smooth with respect to the underlying real structure by [Glö02a, Proposition 2.4], whence for a complex Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ the complex Lie group $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ also carries the structure of a real Lie group. Now a complex Lie group is called regular, if the underlying real Lie group is regular (in the sense outlined in the introduction).

4.1 Recall that the coproduct in a graded and connected Hopf algebra can be written as $\Delta(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes x + x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} + \overline{\Delta}(x)$, where $\overline{\Delta}\colon \mathcal{H} \to \bigoplus_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_m$ denotes the reduced coproduct. Following [MP15] a combinatorial Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ is called *right-handed combinatorial Hopf algebra* if its reduced coproduct satisfies

$$\overline{\Delta}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathbb{K}^{(\Sigma)} \otimes \mathcal{H}, \quad \text{with } \mathbb{K}^{(\Sigma)} \text{ the vector space with base } \Sigma$$

One can prove that (commutative) right-handed combinatorial Hopf algebras are closely connected to preLie algebras and the antipode is given by a Zimmermann type forest formula (cf. [MP15, Theorem 8]).

In the following we fix a control pair $((\mathcal{H}, \Sigma), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ with convex growth family and assume that $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ is an right-handed combinatorial Hopf algebra. The Lie group of controlled characters $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ will always be constructed with respect to such a pair, and some commutative Banach algebra B.

Before we begin let us recall the type of differential equation we wish to solve for the controlled character groups.

4.2 (Lie type differential equations on controlled character groups) As discussed in the introduction we are interested in solutions of the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \gamma'(t) &= \gamma(t).\eta(t) \\ \gamma(0) &= \mathbf{1} \end{cases}$$

where $\eta \in C([0,1], \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B))$ and the dot means right multiplication in the tangent Lie group $TG_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$.

By Lemma 3.13 the map $\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}\colon G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B) \to G(\mathcal{H}, B),\ \varphi \mapsto \varphi$ is a Lie group morphism. Its derivative $\mathbf{L}(\iota_{\mathcal{H},B})\colon \mathbf{L}(G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)) \to \mathbf{L}(G(\mathcal{H}, B))$ is the canonical inclusion of $\ell^\infty_\to(\mathcal{H}, B)$ into $B^{\mathcal{H}}$. As a consequence of [Glö15, Lemma 10.1] we see that if $\eta \in C^k([0,1], \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B))$ admits a C^{k+1}-evolution $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B)$ then

$$\iota_{\mathcal{H},B} \circ \gamma = \mathrm{Evol}_{G(\mathcal{H},B)}(\mathbf{L}(\iota_{\mathcal{H},B}) \circ \eta). \tag{9}$$

Thus from [BDS16, Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 2.11] we see that the differential equation for regularity can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \gamma'(t) &= \gamma(t) \star \eta(t) \\ \gamma(0) &= \mathbf{1} \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

for $\eta \in C([0,1], \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}, B))$ (this can also be deduced directly from the submanifold structure of the Lie group).

We will now prove that for certain Hopf algebras, the solution of the equation (10) factors through the controlled character group if the initial curve η takes its values in the Lie algebra of controlled characters. However, our proof depends on a certain estimate on the growth of the convolution product (we discuss this in Remark 4.8 below).

4.3 Definition To formulate the growth estimate, we define for $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ the *right elementary coproduct* via

$$\overline{\Delta}^{\in}(\tau) := \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\Sigma} c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}\alpha \otimes \beta \quad \tau \in \Sigma.$$

where the right-hand side is given by the terms in the basis expansion of the reduced coproduct Δ of the Hopf algebra. Since the Hopf algebra is right-handed, the elementary coproduct restricts the terms only by imposing a condition on the second component of the tensor products. Note that the right elementary coproduct will in general contain less terms than the reduced coproduct since we restrict in the second component to elements in the alphabet Σ.

4.4 Definition ((RLB) Hopf algebra) We call a right-handed combinatorial Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma)$ *right-hand linearly bounded* (or *(RLB)*) *Hopf algebra* if there are constants $a, b > 0$ such that the elementary coproduct satisfies a uniform ℓ^1-estimate

$$\left\|\overline{\Delta}^{\in}(\tau)\right\|_{\ell^1} = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau| \\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}} |c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}| \leq (a|\tau| + b) \quad \forall \tau \in \Sigma. \tag{11}$$

For example, the Connes-Kreimer algebra (see Example 5.2) is a (RLB) Hopf algebra, whereas the Faà di Bruno algebra (cf. Example 5.4) is not of this type.

In the following regularity proofs we will need the following easy version of Gronwall's inequality (see e.g. [Tes12, Lemma 2.7] or [Dah11, Lemma 1.1.24(a)]):

4.5 Lemma (Gronwall) *Let* $h\colon [0,1] \to [0, +\infty[$ *be a continuous function and let* $A, B \geq 0$ *be constants such that*

$$h(t) \leq A + B\int_0^t h(s)\mathrm{d}s \quad \textit{for all } t \in [0,1].$$

Then

$$h(t) \leq Ae^{tB} \quad \textit{for all } t \in [0,1].$$

4.6 Proposition *If $(\mathcal{H},\Sigma)$ is a (RLB) Hopf algebra and $((\mathcal{H},\Sigma),(\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ is a control pair, then $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)$ is C^0-semiregular.*

Proof. Recall from [BDS16] that the differential equation (10) has a unique solution in $G(\mathcal{H},B)$ (since this group is C^0-semiregular). We will now prove that for every fixed $\eta\in C([0,1],\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B))$ the solution $\gamma\colon[0,1]\to G(\mathcal{H},B)$ to (10) in $G(\mathcal{H},B)$ factors through the controlled characters. Since $\eta([0,1])\subseteq\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)$ is compact and the Lie algebra is a compactly regular inductive limit (cf. Lemma B.4), we deduce from Proposition 1.14 (c) that there is $k\in\mathbb{N}$ with $\eta([0,1])\subseteq B_1^{\ell_k^\infty}(0)$ (i.e. the one-ball around 0 in $\ell_k^\infty(\Sigma,B)$). Now define the auxiliary mappings

$$h_n(t):=\sup_{\tau\in\Sigma,|\tau|\leq n}\frac{\|\gamma(t)(\tau)\|}{\omega_k(|\tau|)}\qquad,t\in[0,1],\ n\in\mathbb{N}$$

Our aim is now to find an upper bound for h_n which grows at most exponentially in n. Since all growth families grow at least exponentially, such a bound will be sufficient for our purposes.

To this end, choose $a,b>0$ such that (11) is satisfied. Recall that $\gamma'=\gamma\star\eta\in\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H},B)$ by (10). Hence we can integrate this formula and use the properties of (infinitesimal) characters to obtain the following estimate for $n\in\mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_n(t) &= \sup_{|\tau|\leq n}\frac{\|\gamma(t)(\tau)\|}{\omega_k(|\tau|)}=\sup_{|\tau|\leq n}\frac{\left\|\int_0^t\gamma(s)\star\eta(s)\mathrm{d}s\right\|}{\omega_k(|\tau|)}\\
&\overset{(5),(4)}{=}\sup_{|\tau|\leq n}\frac{1}{\omega_k(|\tau|)}\Bigg\|\int_0^t\Big(\gamma(s)(\tau)\underbrace{\eta(s)(\emptyset)}_{=0}+\underbrace{\gamma(s)(\emptyset)}_{=1_B}\eta(s)(\tau)+\\
&\qquad\qquad+\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau|\\ \alpha\in\Sigma,\beta\in\Sigma^*\setminus\{\emptyset\}}}c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}\gamma(s)(\alpha)\eta(s)(\beta)\Big)\mathrm{d}s\Bigg\|\\
&\overset{(5),(4)}{=}\sup_{|\tau|\leq n}\frac{1}{\omega_k(|\tau|)}\int_0^t\Big(\underbrace{\|\eta(s)(\tau)\|}_{\leq\omega_k(|\tau|)}\mathrm{d}s+\\
&\qquad\qquad+\int_0^t\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau|,\\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}}|c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}|\ \underbrace{\|\gamma(s)(\alpha)\|}_{\leq h_n(s)\omega_k(|\alpha|)}\ \underbrace{\|\eta(s)(\beta)\|}_{\leq\omega_k(|\beta|)}\Big)\mathrm{d}s\\
&\leq 1+\sup_{|\tau|\leq n}\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau|,\\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}}|c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}|\frac{\omega_k(|\beta|)\omega_k(|\alpha|)}{\omega_k(|\tau|)}\int_0^t h_n(s)\mathrm{d}s\\
&\overset{(11)}{\leq}1+\sup_{|\tau|\leq n}(a|\tau|+b)\int h_n(s)\mathrm{d}s\leq 1+(an+b)\int_0^t h_n(s)\mathrm{d}s
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used that we are working in a right-handed Hopf algebra to ensure that there are no higher powers of h_n in the formulae. We can now use the above estimate together with the Gronwall inequality (Lemma 4.5) to obtain

$$h_n(t) \leq 1 \cdot e^{(an+b)t} < (2^n)^{2a+2b}.$$

By $(2a+2b)$ times applying (W3) we obtain a $k_2 > k$ such that

$$\omega_k(n)\,(2^n)^{2a+2b} \leq \omega_{k_2}(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For a given $t \in [0,1]$ and $\tau \in \Sigma$ we deduce

$$\|\gamma(t)(\tau)\| = \omega_k(|\tau|)h_{|\tau|}(t) \leq \omega_k(|\tau|)\left(2^{|\tau|}\right)^{2a+2b} \leq \omega_{k_2}(|\tau|).$$

This shows that γ takes only values in the (unit ball of the) space $\ell^\infty_{k_2}(\mathcal{H},B)$. Now apply (W3) again to find $k_3 > k_2$ with $(an+b)\omega_{k_2}(n) < \omega_{k_3}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to B_1^{\ell^\infty_{k_3}(\mathcal{H},B)}(0)$ is continuous due to the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\gamma(t)-\gamma(t_0)\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_3}} \leq \int_{t_0}^t \|\gamma'(s)\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_3}}\,\mathrm{d}s = \int_{t_0}^t \|\gamma(s)\star\eta(s)\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_3}}\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma}\int_{t_0}^t \left(\underbrace{\|\eta(s)(\tau)\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_3}}}_{\leq 1} + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau|,\\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}} |c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}| \frac{\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|)}{\omega_{k_3}(|\tau|)} \underbrace{\|\gamma(s)\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_2}}}_{\leq 1} \underbrace{\|\eta(s)\|_{\ell^\infty_{k_2}}}_{\leq 1} \right) \mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma}\int_{t_0}^t \left(1 + \frac{(a|\tau|+b)\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|)}{\omega_{k_3}(|\tau|)}\right)\mathrm{d}s \leq 2\,|t-t_0|\,.
\end{aligned}$$

By [Glö15, Lemma 7.10] continuity of γ suffices to conclude that γ is C^1 and that $\mathrm{Evol}_{G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)}(\eta) = \gamma$. This concludes the proof. □

With the same hypotheses as Proposition 4.6 we may now show that the group of controlled characters is not only C^0-semiregular but even C^0-regular:

4.7 Theorem (Regularity of the group of controlled characters) *Assume that $(\mathcal{H},\Sigma)$ is an (RLB) Hopf algebra and $((\mathcal{H},\Sigma),(\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ a control pair. Then $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)$ is C^0-regular (with an analytic evolution map). In particular $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)$ is regular in Milnor's sense.*

Proof. We will assume throughout this proof that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. The regularity of a group of real controlled characters can be deduced from the complex case. This is a consequence of [Glö15, Corollary 9.10] since by Proposition 3.17 the complexification of a group of real controlled characters is a (complex) Lie group of controlled characters.

In Proposition 4.6 we already established that for every $\eta \in C([0,1],\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B))$ in the Lie algebra there is a curve $\gamma_\eta = \mathrm{Evol}(\eta) \in C^1([0,1],\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{H},B)$ such that $\gamma_\eta' = \gamma_\eta \star \eta$. It remains to establish the smoothness of the map

$$\mathrm{evol}\colon \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B) \to G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B), \quad \eta \mapsto \gamma_\eta(1).$$

Step 1: Working in charts The $C^\omega_{\mathbb{K}}$-manifold $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)$ is $C^\omega_{\mathbb{K}}$-diffeomorphic to the (LB)-space $\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma,B)$ via

$$\mathrm{res}_G\colon G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)\to\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma,B),\quad \varphi\mapsto\varphi|_\Sigma,$$

by Proposition 2.8. Furthermore, Proposition 3.14 entails that the locally convex space $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)$ is topologically isomorphic to the (LB)-space $\ell^\infty_\to(\mathcal{H},B)$ via

$$\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{g}}\colon \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)\to\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma,B),\quad \psi\mapsto\psi|_\Sigma.$$

Using these identifications it remains to establish smoothness of the map

$$\Phi\colon C([0,1],\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma,B))\to\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma,B),\quad \eta\mapsto\mathrm{res}_G(\mathrm{evol}(\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\circ\eta)).$$

Step 2: The auxiliary maps $\Phi_{k,\ell}$ for $\ell\gg k$. Let $k\in\mathbb{N}$. In the proof of Proposition 4.6 we have seen that there is a $\ell>k$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\|\gamma_\eta(t)\|_{\ell^\infty_\ell}\leq 1\quad\text{ whenever }\quad\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\|\eta(t)\|_{\ell^\infty_k}\leq 1.$$

Note that the isomorphism $\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{g}}\colon\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)\to\ell^\infty_\to(\Sigma,B)$ is isometric with respect to the ℓ^∞_k-norms since an infinitesimal character is zero on all words of length different from 1 (as the Hopf algebra is connected). This means that $\eta\colon[0,1]\to B_1^{\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma,B)}(0)$ defined via

$$\Phi_{k,\ell}\colon B_1^{C([0,1],\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma,B))}(0)\to\ell^\infty_\ell(\Sigma,B),\quad \eta\mapsto\Phi(\eta)=\mathrm{res}_G(\mathrm{evol}(\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\circ\eta)),$$

makes sense.

Step 3: $\Phi_{k,\ell}$ is continuous for $\ell\gg k$. Let now $k\in\mathbb{N}$ be fixed. We have seen that there is a number $\ell_1>k$ such that Φ_{k,ℓ_1} is well-defined. Let again $a,b>0$ be the constants for $\mathcal{H}$ such that (11) is satisfied. Now apply (W3) once more to find $\ell_2>\ell_1$ with

$$(an+b+1)e^{an+b}\omega_{\ell_1}(n)\leq\omega_{\ell_2}(n)\quad\text{ for all }n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

We will show that $\Phi_{k,\ell_2}\colon B_1^{C([0,1],\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma,B))}(0)\to\ell^\infty_{\ell_2}(\Sigma,B)$ is continuous. To this end, let $\varepsilon>0$ and choose $\delta:=\varepsilon$. Fix $\eta_1,\eta_2\in B_1^{C([0,1],\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma,B))}(0)$ with

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\|\eta_1(t)-\eta_2(t)\|_{\ell^\infty_k}<\delta=\varepsilon.$$

Now consider the Lie group valued curves $\gamma_1:=\mathrm{Evol}_{G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)}(\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\circ\eta_1)$ and $\gamma_2:=\mathrm{Evol}_{G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)}(\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\circ\eta_2)$. Continuity of Φ_{k,ℓ_2} will be established when we show that $\|\gamma_1-\gamma_2\|_{\ell^\infty_{\ell_2}}\leq\varepsilon$.

Similar to the proof of Proposition 4.6 we will use another set of auxiliary mappings

$$g_n(t):=\sup_{\tau\in\Sigma,|\tau|\leq n}\frac{\|\gamma_1(t)(\tau)-\gamma_2(t)(\tau)\|}{\omega_{\ell_1}(|\tau|)}\qquad,t\in[0,1],\ n\in\mathbb{N}$$

Now for a $t \in [0,1]$ we have the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n(t) &= \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma|\tau|\le n} \frac{1}{\omega_{\ell_1}(|\tau|)} \left\| \int_0^t (\gamma_1'(s)(\tau) - \gamma_2'(s)(\tau))\,\mathrm{d}s \right\| \\
&= \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma|\tau|\le n} \frac{1}{\omega_{\ell_1}(|\tau|)} \left\| \int_0^t ((\gamma_1(s)\star\eta_1(s))(\tau) - (\gamma_1(s)\star\eta_1(s))(\tau))\,\mathrm{d}s \right\| \\
&= \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma|\tau|\le n} \frac{1}{\omega_{\ell_1}(|\tau|)} \left\| \int_0^t \Big(\eta_1(s)(\tau) - \eta_2(s)(\tau) + \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau| \\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}} c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}\left(\gamma_1(s)(\alpha)\eta_1(s)(\beta) - \gamma_2(s)(\alpha)\eta_2(s)(\beta)\right)\Big)\mathrm{d}s \right\| \\
&\le \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma|\tau|\le n} \frac{1}{\omega_{\ell_1}(|\tau|)} \int_0^t \underbrace{\|\eta_1(s)(\tau) - \eta_2(s)(\tau)\|}_{\le\varepsilon\cdot\omega_k(|\tau|)\le\varepsilon\cdot\omega_{\ell_1}(|\tau|)}\mathrm{d}s + \\
&\qquad + \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau| \\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}} |c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}| \int_0^t \|\gamma_1(s)(\alpha)\eta_1(s)(\beta) - \gamma_2(s)(\alpha)\eta_2(s)(\beta)\|\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\le \varepsilon + \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma|\tau|\le n} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau| \\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}} |c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}| \frac{1}{\omega_{\ell_1}(|\tau|)} \int_0^t \Big(\underbrace{\|\gamma_1(s)(\alpha)\|}_{\le\omega_{\ell_1}(|\alpha|)} \underbrace{\|\eta_1(s)(\beta) - \eta_2(s)(\beta)\|}_{\le\varepsilon\cdot\omega_k(|\beta|)\le\varepsilon\cdot\omega_{\ell_1}(|\beta|)} + \\
&\qquad + \underbrace{\|\gamma_1(s)(\alpha) - \gamma_2(s)(\alpha)\|}_{\le g_n(s)\cdot\omega_{\ell_1}(|\alpha|)} \underbrace{\|\eta_2(s)(\beta)\|}_{\le\omega_k(|\beta|)\le\omega_{\ell_1}(|\beta|)} \Big)\mathrm{d}s \\
&\le \varepsilon + \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma|\tau|\le n} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau| \\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}} |c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}| \underbrace{\frac{\omega_{\ell_1}(|\alpha|)\omega_{\ell_1}(|\beta|)}{\omega_{\ell_1}(|\tau|)}}_{\le 1} \left(\varepsilon + \int_0^t g_n(s)\mathrm{d}s\right) \\
&\le \varepsilon + \sup_{\tau\in\Sigma|\tau|\le n} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau| \\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma}} |c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}|}_{\le a|\tau|+b} \left(\varepsilon + \int_0^t g_n(s)\mathrm{d}s\right) \\
&\le \varepsilon(1+an+b) + (an+b)\int_0^t g_n(s)\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality (Lemma 4.5) this implies

$$g_n(t) \le \varepsilon(1+an+b)e^{(an+b)t}$$

and in particular

$$\sup_{\tau\in\Sigma}\frac{\|(\gamma_1(1)-\gamma_2(1))(\tau)\|}{\omega_{\ell_2}(|\tau|)}\leq\sup_{\tau\in\Sigma}\frac{g_n(1)\omega_{\ell_1}(|\tau|)}{\omega_{\ell_2}(|\tau|)}\leq\sup_{\tau\in\Sigma}\frac{\varepsilon(1+an+b)e^{(an+b)t}\omega_{\ell_1}(|\tau|)}{\omega_{\ell_2}(|\tau|)}\leq\varepsilon$$

which is what we had to show.

Step 4: $\Phi_{k,\ell}$ is complex analytic. Fix $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and choose $\ell>k$ as in Step 3 such that $\Phi_{k,\ell}$ makes sense and is continuous. By Lemma 3.13 the inclusion map

$$G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H},B)\to G(\mathcal{H},B),\quad \varphi\mapsto\varphi$$

is a Lie group morphism. The group on the right is C^0-regular with an analytic evolution map (see [BDS16, Theorem 2.11]). This shows that $\Phi_{k,\ell}$ is analytic when regarded as a map into the the full character group which is a submanifold of the locally convex space $B^{\mathcal{H}}$. Since the continuous linear point evaluations separate the points, we may apply Proposition A.5 to conclude that $\Phi_{k,\ell}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-analytic.

Step 5: Φ is complex analytic. As a consequence of Step 2 and Step 4 for each $k\in\mathbb{N}$ the map

$$\Phi_k\colon B_1^{C([0,1],\ell^\infty_k(\Sigma,B))}(0)\to\ell^\infty_{\to}(\Sigma,B),\quad \eta\mapsto\Phi(\eta)=\mathrm{res}_G(\mathrm{evol}(\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\circ\eta)).$$

is complex analytic and bounded. So by [Dah10, Theorem A] the map Φ is complex analytic. Summing up, this shows that the evolution map is complex analytic in charts, whence complex analytic. □

4.8 Remark Observe that Theorem 4.7 and Proposition 4.6 can be adapted to slightly more general situations. The assumption that the Hopf algebra is an (RLB) Hopf algebra can be relaxed if more information on the growth bound is known. Namely, instead of the estimate (11) it suffices to require that the elementary coproduct of the right-handed combinatorial algebra $(\mathcal{H},\Sigma)$ satisfies for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ the estimate

$$\left\|\overline{\Delta}^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\right\|_{\ell^1}=\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|=|\tau|\\ \alpha,\beta\in\Sigma^*}}|c_{\alpha,\beta,\tau}|\leq\log\left(\frac{\omega_\ell(|\tau|)}{\omega_k(|\tau|)}\right)\quad \tau\in\Sigma,\ \text{for suitable } \ell>k.$$

Inserting this estimate in the proofs of Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 4.7, one sees that they can be carried out without any further changes. If the algebra is not an (RLB) algebra, our estimate indicates that functions in the growth family need to grow super exponentially fast (i.e. at least like $\exp\left(\left\|\overline{\Delta}^{\varepsilon}(\tau)\right\|_{\ell^1}\right)$). Thus the only growth family from Proposition 1.4 which leads to a regular Lie group in this case are $\omega_k(n)=k^n(n!)^k$ or $\omega_k(n)=k^{n^2}$.

Observe that the estimates in the above proofs will in general be quite conservative. Hence we conjecture that with better estimates, or a refinement of the techniques used in the proofs above, one should be able to obtain regularity for all Lie groups of controlled characters.

5. Instructive examples of controlled character groups

In this section we discuss (controlled) character groups for certain well known combinatorial Hopf algebras. The detailed analysis of these examples usually involves involved combinatorial estimates (cf. e.g. the computations following Example 5.4). Such an analysis is in general beyond the scope of the present article. We provide however some perspectives for further research with application to numerical analysis and control theory.

Examples from numerical analysis I: The tame Butcher group

In this section we discuss (controlled) character groups which are inspired by application from numerical analysis. Namely, we consider groups which are related to the so called Butcher group and its generalisations from Lie-Butcher theory (cf. e.g. [LMK11]). Special emphasis will be given to the power series solutions associated to elements in this group. This is due to the fact that these power series solutions were the motivation to consider the tame Butcher group in [BS16]. We begin with the easiest example in this context, the character group of the so called Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees[11]

5.1 Notation (a) A *rooted tree* is a connected *finite* graph without cycles with a distinguished node called the *root*. We identify rooted trees if they are graph isomorphic via a root preserving isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be *the set of all rooted trees* and write $\mathcal{T}_0 := \mathcal{T} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ where $\emptyset$ denotes the empty tree. The *order* $|\tau|$ of a tree $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0$ is its number of vertices.

(b) An *ordered subtree*[12] of $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0$ is a subset s of all vertices of τ which satisfies

(i) s is connected by edges of the tree τ,

(ii) if s is non-empty, then it contains the root of τ.

The set of all ordered subtrees of τ is denoted by $\mathrm{OST}(\tau)$. Further, s_τ denotes the tree given by vertices of s with root and edges induced by τ.

(c) A *partition* p of a tree $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0$ is a subset of edges of the tree. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\tau)$ the set of all partitions of τ (including the empty partition).

Associated to $s \in \mathrm{OST}(\tau)$ is a forest $\tau \setminus s$ (collection of rooted trees) obtained from τ by removing the subtree s and its adjacent edges. Similarly, to a partition $p \in \mathcal{P}(\tau)$ a forest $\tau \setminus p$ is associated as the forest that remains when the edges of p are removed from the tree τ. In either case, we let $\#\tau \setminus p$ be the number of trees in the forest.

We denote by $G_{TM}^{\mathbb{K}}$ the Butcher group over $\mathbb{K}$ and recall that it is the set of tree maps $G_{TM}^{\mathbb{K}} = \{a \colon \mathcal{T} \cup \{\emptyset\} \mid a(\emptyset) = 1\}$. The multiplication of the Butcher group corresponds to the composition of formal power series (see below 5.3).

[11] This Hopf algebra is most prominently studied in the Connes-Kreimer approach to perturbative renormalisation of quantum field theories, whence the name. We refer to [CM08] for an account.

[12] The term "ordered" refers to that the subtree remembers from which part of the tree it was cut.

5.2 Example (Controlled characters of the Connes-Kreimer algebra of rooted trees) Consider the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}} := \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{T}]$ of polynomials which is generated by the trees in $\mathcal{T}$. One defines a coproduct and an antipode on the trees as follows

$$\Delta\colon \mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}} \to \mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}}, \quad \tau \mapsto \sum_{s \in \mathrm{OST}(\tau)} (\tau \setminus s) \otimes s,$$
$$S\colon \mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}} \to \mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}}, \quad \tau \mapsto \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\tau)} (-1)^{\#\tau \setminus p} (\tau \setminus p)$$

One can show that with these structures $\mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-Hopf algebra which is graded and connected with respect to the number of nodes grading (see [CHV10, 5.1] for more details and references).

Since $|\operatorname{OST}(\tau)| \leq 2^{|\tau|}$ and $|\mathcal{P}(\tau)| \leq 2^{|\tau|}$ (cf. e.g. [BS16, Appendix B]) it follows from the formulae for the antipode and the coproduct that $((\mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}}, \mathcal{T}), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ is a control pair for all growth families discussed in Proposition 1.4.

Recall from [BDS16, Lemma 4.8] that the group of all characters of the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}}$ of rooted trees corresponds to the Butcher group from numerical analysis via

$$G(\mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}}, \mathbb{K}) \to G_{TM}^{\mathbb{K}}, a \mapsto a|_{\mathcal{T}\cup\{\emptyset\}} \tag{12}$$

As seen above, we can construct the group of controlled characters with respect to every growth family considered in Proposition 1.4. Consider the Lie group of controlled characters $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}}, \mathbb{K})$ induced by the control pair $((\mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}}, \mathcal{T}), (n \mapsto k^n)_{k\in\mathbb{N}})$. Then the correspondence of the character group with the Butcher group identifies the controlled characters $G_{\mathrm{ctr}}(\mathcal{H}_{CK}^{\mathbb{K}}, \mathbb{K})$ with the tame Butcher group constructed in [BS16].

To see this, recall that the weights used in [BS16] in the construction of the tame Butcher group are of the form $\omega_k(n) := 2^{kn} = (2^k)^n$. It is easy to see that $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a convex growth family and a cofinal subsequence of the convex growth family $(n \mapsto k^n)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. Thus the inductive limits of the weighted ℓ^∞-spaces constructed with respect to both families coincide and one concludes at once that the Lie group structure obtained via Theorem 3.11 is the same as the one constructed in [BS16, Theorem 2.4].

As a consequence of Example 5.2, we recover the results on the Lie group structure of the tame Butcher group as a special case of Theorem 3.11. The group of controlled characters is of interest in numerical analysis since their elements correspond to (locally) convergent power series solutions. To explain this, recall the notion of a B-series.

5.3 Definition (Elementary differentials and B-series) Let now $f\colon E \supseteq U \to E$ be an analytic mapping defined on an open subset of the normed space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$. Then we define for $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ recursively the *elementary differential* $F_f(\tau)\colon U \to E$ via $F_f(\bullet)(y) = f(y)$ and

$$F_f(\tau)(y) := f^{(m)}(y)(F_f(\tau_1)(y), \dots F_f(\tau_m)(y)) \quad \text{for } \tau = [\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m] \tag{13}$$

where $f^{(m)}$ denotes the mth Fréchet derivative. Now we can define for an element $a\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{K}$ in the Butcher group, $y \in U$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}$ a formal series

$$B_f(a, y, h) := y + \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{h^{|\tau|}}{\sigma(\tau)} a(\tau) F_f(\tau)(y), \tag{14}$$

called *B-series*. The $\sigma(\tau)$ are the so called symmetry coefficients (used to normalise the series). As f is usually fixed in advance, the dependence on f will be suppressed in the notation of elementary differentials and B-series.

The B-series $B_f(a, y, h)$ corresponds to a numerical approximation of a power series solutions of the ordinary differential equation

$$(\star) \begin{cases} y'(t) & = f(y), \\ y(0) & = y_0 \end{cases}$$

near y_0. Hence one would like the formal B-series to converge at least locally. In general, a B-series does not (even locally) converge, but the B-series of an element in the group of controlled characters converges at least on a small disc around y_0. One even obtains a morphism from the tame Butcher group into a Lie group of germs of diffeomorphisms (cf. [BS16, Section 6]).

Example: The Faà di Bruno algebra

The aim of the present chapter is twofold. First of all, we recall the construction of the so called Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra. This Hopf algebra encodes the combinatorial structure of the composition of formal diffeomorphisms on vector spaces. As is well known, this composition can be described via the famous Faà di Bruno formula (cf. e.g. [FM15]), whence the name of the Hopf algebra. In a second step we consider another realisation of this Hopf algebra as a combinatorial Hopf algebra. This example emphasises the importance of the explicit choice of basis for the combinatorial Hopf algebra. We will see that a change of base of the polynomial algebra yields different behaviour with respect to controlled characters.

We concentrate here on the classical commutative Faà di Bruno algebra.[13] Our exposition follows mainly [EFLM14, Section 3.2.3], but we need the explicit formula for the antipode as recorded in [BFK06, Theorem 2.14]. (Observe that our choice of variables is compatible with the one in loc.cit. by [BFK06, Remark 2.12].)

5.4 Example (The commutative Faà di Bruno algebra) The *Faà di Bruno algebra* is the combinatorial Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{FdB}$ given by the following data:

- Fix a graded index set $\Sigma := \{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}$ with the grading $|a_n| := n$. Conceptually, the variables correspond to the coordinate functions on the group of

[13] There are many Hopf algebras (and bialgebras) which are of "Faà di Bruno type". For another example, we refer to Example 5.13 below.

formal diffeomorphisms. This means that a_n acts on these formal series as the normalised $(n+1)$st derivative at $x=0$, i.e. $a_n(\varphi) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n+1}}{\mathrm{d}x^{n+1}}\varphi(0)$.

- As an algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is the commutative polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[\Sigma]$ with the connected grading from the index set Σ. The counit $\varepsilon\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is projection onto the zeroth component (as in every connected Hopf algebra).

- The coproduct $\Delta\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is given by the formula

$$\Delta(a_n) := \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+2\beta_2+\cdots+n\beta_n=n-r \\ \beta_0+\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_n=r+1}} \frac{(r+1)!}{\beta_0!\beta_1!\cdots\beta_n!} a_r \otimes a_1^{\beta_1}\cdots a_n^{\beta_n}. \tag{15}$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(k+1)!}{(n+1)!} B_{n+1,k+1}(1!a_0, 2!a_1, \ldots, (n-r+1)!a_{n-r+1}) \tag{16}$$

  Here the $B_{n,k}$ are the partial Bell polynomials (cf. [Com74, Section 3.3]). Further, $a_0 := 1$ and the coefficient β_0 in the first line associated to powers of a_0. The identification of a_0 is traditional as it is customary to consider the Faà di Bruno bialgebra. This bialgebra is not a Hopf algebra because a_0 is not necessarily invertible.

- The antipode $S\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is given by the explicit formula:

$$S(a_n) := -a_n - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1}(-1)^r \sum_{\substack{n_1+\cdots+n_r+n_{r+1}=n \\ n_1,\ldots,n_{r+1}>0}} \lambda(n_1,\ldots,n_r)\; a_{n_1}\cdots a_{n_r}a_{n_{r+1}}. \tag{17}$$

  where

$$\lambda(n_1,\ldots,n_r) = \sum_{\substack{m_1+\cdots+m_r=r \\ m_1+\cdots+m_h\geq h \\ h=1,\ldots,r-1}} \binom{n_1+1}{m_1}\cdots\binom{n_r+1}{m_r}. \tag{18}$$

  Note that this formula was derived in [BFK06] for a *non-commutative* generalisation of the Faà di Bruno algebra. Hence, the above formula should be understood as being written in non-commutative variables. Its abelianisation then yields an explicit formula for the commutative case.

Observe that $\mathcal{H}_{FdB}$ is a combinatorial right-handed Hopf algebra.

5.5 Proposition *Together with any growth family from Proposition 1.4 the combinatorial Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{H}_{FdB}, \Sigma)$ forms a control pair.*

Proof. we establish the $\ell^1_{\leftarrow}$-continuity by providing the necessary estimates to apply Lemma 1.10 Let us first consider the $\ell^1_{\leftarrow}$-continuity of the coproduct. Before we begin, recall from [Com74, p. 135 Theorem B] the identity

$$B_{n+1,r+1}(1, 2!, 3!, \ldots (n-r+1)!) = \binom{n}{r}\frac{(n+1)!}{(r+1)!}.$$

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and use the formula (16) to obtain for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta(a_n)\|_{\ell^1_k} &= \left\| \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!} B_{n+1,r+1}(1!a_0, 2!a_1, \ldots, (n-r+1)!a_{n-r+1}) \right\|_{\ell^1_k} \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{n} B_{n+1,r+1}(1!, 2!, \ldots, (n-r+1)!)\omega_k(|a_n|) \\ &= \omega_k(n) \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{(r+1)!}{(n+1)!} \binom{n}{r} \frac{(n+1)!}{(r+1)!} \leq \omega_k(n) 2^n\end{aligned}$$

In passing from the first to the second line we have used that $\prod_{i=0}^{n-k+1} \omega_k(|a_i|) \leq \omega_k(|a_n|)$ (cf. the explicit form in (15)).

We now turn to the antipode and use the formula (17). Note that we immediately have to take an estimate as we are not working with the abelianised version of the formula. Again fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\|S(a_n)\|_{\ell^1_k} &\leq \omega_k(|a_n|) + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{n_1+\cdots+n_r+n_{r+1}=n \\ n_1,\ldots,n_{r+1}>0}} \lambda(n_1, \ldots, n_r)\omega_k(|a_{n_1}|) \cdots \omega_k(|a_{n_{r+1}}|) \\ &\leq \omega_k(|a_n|) \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{n_1+\cdots+n_r+n_{r+1}=n \\ n_1,\ldots,n_{r+1}>0}} \lambda(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \right)\end{aligned}$$

Recall from (18) that the coefficients $\lambda(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ in the above formula consist of a sum of products of binomial coefficients. Taking a very rough estimate (observe the condition in the second sum of (18)!), ever summand in this sum is certainly smaller than $2^{2n} = 4^n$. To complete the estimate on $\lambda(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$, recall from [BFK06, 2.4] that this number equals the rth Catalan number $C_r := \frac{1}{r+1}\binom{2r}{r}$. Since $r < n$ we obtain

$$\lambda(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \leq C_r 4^n \leq 2^{2n} 4^n = 16^n.$$

Inserting this into the above estimate, we obtain

$$\|S(a_n)\|_{\ell^1_k} \leq \omega_k(|a_n|) \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{n_1+\cdots+n_r+n_{r+1}=n \\ n_1,\ldots,n_{r+1}>0}} 16^n \right) \leq \omega_k(|X_n|) 16^n 2^{n-1}$$

For the last estimate we used that the summands do not depend on the summation condition, whence only the number of summands is important. As this number is the number of compositions of the number n into r smaller natural numbers, it is smaller than 2^{n-1} (cf. [Com74, Ex. 23 on p. 123]). Since all growth families grow at least exponentially in n, the continuity of the antipode follows (e.g. for $\ell > 32k$). □

Though the Faà di Bruno algebra is a right-handed combinatorial Hopf algebra, it is unfortunately not an (RLB) Hopf algebra. To see this we compute with the help of (15) the elementary coproduct for $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\overline{\Delta}^{e}(a_n)\right\|_{\ell^1} &= \left\|\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{\beta_1+2\beta_2+\cdots+n\beta_n=n-r \\ \beta_1+\cdots+\beta_n=1,\beta_i\in\{0,1\}}} \frac{(r+1)!}{r!\beta_1!\cdots\beta_n!} a_r \otimes a_1^{\beta_1}\cdots a_n^{\beta_n}\right\|_{\ell^1} \\ &= \left\|\sum_{r=1}^{n-1}(r+1)a_r \otimes a_{n-r}\right\|_{\ell^1} = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1}(r+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra is not an (RLB) Hopf algebra and we can not use Theorem 4.7 to establish regularity of its controlled character groups. However, as $\exp(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1) < k^{n^2}$ for large enough k, we see that at least the Lie group of controlled characters with respect to the growth family $\omega_k(n) = k^{n^2}$ is C^0-regular by Remark 4.8.

We now turn to another choice of variables for the Faà di Bruno algebra, i.e. we realise the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra as a different combinatorial Hopf algebra. Note that the only changes in the following example will be a change of base of the Hopf algebra. All other structure maps remain the same (though the formulae have to be expressed in the new basis).

5.6 Example (Faà di Bruno algebra II: Another combinatorial structure) We construct the Faà di Bruno algebra as in Example 5.4. This time however, we scale the variables by defining

$$\Sigma' := \{X_n := (n+1)!a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and consider $\mathcal{H}_{FdB}$ as a combinatorial Hopf algebra with respect to Σ'. One introduces the coordinate transformation to the X_n because the formula for the coproduct (16) now changes to

$$\Delta(X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} X_k \otimes B_{n+1,k+1}(X_0, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{n-k+1}) \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{19}$$

where $B_{n+1,k+1}$ is the partial Bell polynomial and $X_0 = 1$. For the antipode an easy computation shows that (17) yields the following in the new variables.

$$S(X_n) = -X_n - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1}(-1)^r \sum_{\substack{n_1+\cdots+n_r+n_{r+1}=n \\ n_1,\ldots,n_{r+1}>0}} \lambda(n_1,\ldots,n_r)\frac{(n+1)!X_{n_1}\cdots X_{n_r}X_{n_{r+1}}}{(n_1+1)!\cdots((n_{r+1}+1)!)} \tag{20}$$

We will now see that $((\mathcal{H}_{FdB}, \Sigma'), (\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}})$ is a control pair for the growth families from Proposition 1.4 if the growth family is given by $\omega_k(n) = k^n(n!)^k$.

To this end recall from [Com74, p. 135 Theorem B] that the partial Bell polynomial $B_{n,k}(1,\ldots,1)$ yields the Stirling number of the second kind. The Stirling numbers

of the second kind add up to the Bell numbers (see [Com74, Section 5.4] , for which asymptotic growth bounds are known (cf. [BT10]). We derive for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the bound

$$\|\Delta(X_n)\|_{\ell^1_k} < \omega_k(|X_n|)\left(\frac{0.792(n+1)}{\log(n+1)}\right)^{n+1} \leq \omega_k(|X_n|)(n+1)^{n+1}.$$

Recall the estimate $e\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n < n!$, whence $(n+1)^{n+1} < (n+1)!e^{n+1} = e(n+1)(n!)e^n \leq e(2e)^n(n!)$. Now as $\omega_k(n) = k^n(n!)^k$, we see that for $\ell > 2ek$ we obtain the estimate

$$\|\Delta(X_n)\|_{\ell^1_k} < e\omega_\ell(|X_n|) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Similar to the estimate obtained in Proposition 5.5 for the antipode one establishes an ℓ^1_k bound for the antipode from (20). Thus $((\mathcal{H}_{FdB}, \Sigma'), (n \mapsto k^n(n!)^k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}})$ is a control pair. However, as the above estimates show, the general growth behaviour of the coproduct and the antipode do not allow one to form e.g. a group of exponentially bounded characters. Note further that also $(\mathcal{H}_{FdB}, \Sigma')$ is not an (RLB)-Hopf algebra (an estimate of the elementary coproduct shows that it grows as $2^{|X_n|}$).

Example from numerical analysis II: Partitioned methods

We will now construct controlled characters in the context of partitioned Butcher series (also called P-series, cf. [CHV10, Mur99]). To this end, we have to introduce coloured trees.

5.7 Definition Consider a set of colours $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. A coloured rooted tree τ is a rooted tree $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, where each node has been marked with one of the symbols (colours) $i \in \mathcal{C}$. We let $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{C}$ be the *set of coloured rooted trees* and identify $\mathcal{C}$ with the set of coloured one-node trees in $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{C}$. If σ is a subtree of the coloured rooted tree τ, we always consider it as a coloured rooted tree with respect to the colouring inherited from τ.

5.8 (Coloured Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a set of colours and consider the algebra $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{K}}_{CK,\mathcal{C}} := \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{C}]$. This algebra becomes a graded and connected Hopf algebra with respect to the coproduct, antipode and the number of nodes grading discussed in Example 5.2. We call this Hopf algebra the $\mathcal{C}$-coloured Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees.

Observe that for every growth family from Proposition 1.4, $((\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{K}}_{CK}, \mathcal{T}), (\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ is a control pair (by the same argument as in Example 5.2). Hence Theorem 3.11 allows us to construct controlled character groups for these pairs.

Again, one is interested in control pairs as they ensure (local) convergence of power series associated to the characters of the coloured Connes-Kreimer algebra. In the discussion below we will restrict to the important special case $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2\}$. To ease the discussion, associate 1 with the colour white and 2 with black. This simplifies the notation and we note that the general case is dealt analogously.

5.9 (Partitioned systems of ODE's and P-series) Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and fix analytic functions $f, g\colon \mathbb{R}^{2d} \to \mathbb{R}^d$. We consider the partitioned ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p} = f(p,q), & p(0) = p_0 \\ \dot{q} = g(p,q), & q(0) = q_0 \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

Equations of this type appear naturally for example in the treatment of mechanical systems (distinguishing positions and velocities). Another example arises from the rewriting of a second order differential equation as a system of first order equations. In these instances one would like to apply numerical schemes which respect the special structure of the differential equation. For example methods of this kind are Runge-Kutta-Nyström methods and additive Runge-Kutta methods (cf. [Mur99, Introduction], [HLW06, Section III.2] for an overview and references).

Numerical (power-series) solutions to (21) can be constructed similarly to the B-series already discussed. To this end one augments the definition of elementary differentials (13) to encompass coloured trees. Whenever the node in the tree is white, we insert the partial derivative of f with respect to p and if the node is black we insert the differential of g with respect to q. Consider the following explicit example

$\tau =$ $\rightsquigarrow F(\tau)(p,q) = d_1^3 f(p,q; d_2^2 g(p,q; g(p,q), f(p,q)), g(p,q), g(p,q))$

Using the elementary differentials with respect tot coloured trees, one defines the P-series[14] of a map $a\colon \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}} \cup \{\emptyset\} \to \mathbb{K}$ as

$$P_{(f,g)}(a,h,(p,q)) = \begin{pmatrix} a(\emptyset)p + \sum\limits_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \text{root of } \tau \text{ is white}}} \frac{h^{|\tau|}}{\sigma(\tau)} a(\tau) F(\tau)(p,q) \\ a(\emptyset)q + \sum\limits_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \text{root of } \tau \text{ is black}}} \frac{h^{|\tau|}}{\sigma(\tau)} a(\tau) F(\tau)(p,q) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Arguing as in the case of the tame Butcher group in [BS16], one can now obtain interesting groups of controlled characters for the coloured Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebras. In the special case of two colours, the controlled characters induced by the control pair $(\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{K}}_{CK,\mathcal{C}}, \mathbb{K}, (n \mapsto k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$ correspond to a group of (locally) convergent P-series.

Outlook: Non-commutative case, Lie-Butcher theory

In numerical analysis the Butcher group, B-series and P-series as discussed above are geared towards understanding numerical integration schemes for differential equations on euclidean space. There are several generalisations of this concept which are of interest to numerical analysis. The other regimes of interest often involve distinct

[14] This presentation of the P-series follows [HLW06, III. Definition 2.1]. Note that loc.cit. defines for every colour in $\mathcal{C}$ a different empty tree. This leads to a non-connected Hopf algebra. We avoid this by allowing just one empty tree and refer to [Mur99] for the development of the theory.

features of non-commutativity. For example, if one wants to treat (autonomous) differential equations evolving on manifolds one has to deal with the non-commutativity of the differentials occurring in this setting. This lead to the development and study of Lie-Butcher series and their associated (non-commutative) Hopf algebra. On one hand, one can model these integrators using the shuffle algebra (autonomous case), cf. [LMK11]. Note that the shuffle algebra and its characters appear in the treatment of word series with application to numerical integration as in [MSS15, MSS16]. On the other hand, one can consider a Faà-di Bruno type algebra [LMK11] to model the non-autonomous case. We will now recall from [MSS15] and [LMK11] the constructions of power series solutions associated to characters of the shuffle algebra.

5.10 (Power series associated to word series (following [MSS15])) Consider on $\mathbb{R}^d$ the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}x(t) & = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{A}} \lambda_a(t) f_a(x) \\ x(0) & = x_0 \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite or countably infinite alphabet with the grading $\rho \equiv 1$, the λ_a are scalar-valued functions and the f_a are $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued. For a word $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ one constructs recursively the *word-basis function* f_w by combining partial derivatives of the functions f_a using the product rule. To illustrate this, we recall the following example from [MSS15, Remark 2] (derivatives in the following are Fréchet derivatives):

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ba}(x) &= f_a^{'}(x)(f_b(x)) \\ f_{cba}(x) &= f_{ba}^{'}(x) f_c(x) = f^{''} f_a(x)(f_b(x), f_c(x)) + f_a^{'}(x)(f_b^{'}(x)(f_c(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the word-basis function f_w is a linear combination of elementary differentials (as in 5.9) in the functions $f_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$ for some trees. For a character $\delta \in G(\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{A}, \rho), \mathbb{R})$ one defines now the formal series[15]

$$W_\delta(x) = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{A}^*} \delta(w) f_w(x) \tag{23}$$

A similar construction is outlined in [LMK11] to construct solutions to (autonomous) differential equations on a manifold. To treat time-dependent differential equations on a manifold, one needs to introduce the so called generalised Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra (see [MKW08] or the streamlined exposition in [LMK11, Section 4.3.3]). This algebra simultaneously generalises the Connes-Kreimer algebra and the shuffle algebra. Once the algebra is defined, one obtains power series from its characters through a formula which is similar to (23).

5.11 (Open problems) We refrain from discussing both cases in detail as it would involve a detailed combinatorial analysis of these Hopf algebras. However, let us outline the main steps and problems in treating these cases:

[15] Which can also be considered as a power series by introducing a step size parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, c. [MSS15, Remark 3].

(a) Establish control pairs for the shuffle Hopf algebra (using the basis of Lyndon words) and the generalised Connes-Kreimer algebra (here one needs to obtain a suitable basis first).

(b) Study convergence (or lack thereof) of power series induced by the controlled characters.

Similar to the approach taken in [BS16] one could hope that the exponentially bounded controlled characters together with Cauchy-type estimates will imply local convergence of the series. However, the construction of the power series (23) differs fundamentally from the construction of the B-series (14). Contrary to B-series, the power series associated to the shuffle algebra do not include a normalisation (which was needed to establish local convergence via [BS16, Proposition 1.8]). Thus exponentially growing characters might not lead to (locally) convergent power series.

Outlook: A Faà di Bruno algebra related to Chen-Fliess series

In this subsection we discuss a Hopf algebra closely connected to Chen-Fliess series from control theory. Our exposition follows here [GDE11]. In control theory, one is interested in certain integral operators called Fliess operators. Associated to such an operator is a formal series, called the generating series.

5.12 (Generating series of Chen-Fliess operators) Fix a finite, non-empty alphabet $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_m\}$ and denote by $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$ the set of mappings $c\colon X^* \to \mathbb{R}$. One interprets elements in $\mathbb{R}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$ as formal series via $c = \sum_{\eta\in X^*}(c,\eta)\eta$ and identifies these series as the generating series of the Fliess operators. Now adjoin a new symbol δ (formally the generating series of the identity) and set $c_\delta = \delta + c$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$.

5.13 Example (A Faà di Bruno type Hopf algebra [GDE11]) We use the notation as in 5.12. For $\eta \in X^* \cup \{\delta\}$ define the coordinate functions

$$a_\eta\colon \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle \to \mathbb{R}, c \mapsto (c,\eta) \text{ and } a_\delta \equiv 1.$$

Define the commutative $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of polynomials $A = \mathbb{R}[a_\eta\colon \eta \in X \cup \delta]$, where the product is defined as $a_\eta a_\gamma(c_\delta) = a_\eta(c_\delta)a_\gamma(c_\delta)$. Then one can construct on A the structure of a graded and connected Hopf algebra such that the character group corresponds to the group of Fliess operators. Note that we are deliberately vague here and refer to [GDE11, GDEEF14] for further details. Further, an explicit description of a combinatorial basis for this Hopf algebra was given in [EEFG16]. Hence the Hopf algebra A is a right-handed combinatorial Hopf algebra.

The character group $G(A,\mathbb{R})$ group was considered in control theory "as an infinite-dimensional Lie group" (cf. [GEF14, Section 5], where the treatment is purely algebraic, the Lie group structure should be the one from [BDS16, Theorem A]). Now characters

in $G(A, \mathbb{R})$ which satisfy

$$|\varphi(\eta)| < Ck^{|\eta|}|\eta|! \text{ for some } C, k > 0 \text{ and all } \eta \in X^*. \tag{24}$$

correspond to locally convergent series as explained in [GDE11, p. 442]. It was shown in [GDE11, Theorem 7] that these bounded characters form a subgroup of the character group $G(A, \mathbb{R})$. Hence, a detailed analysis should show that the Hopf algebra together with the growth bounds (24) form a control pair. Thus we expect to obtain a Lie group of controlled characters by applying Theorem 3.11 which is of interest in control theory. Details of this construction are beyond the scope of the present paper, as they require a detailed understanding of the results in [GDE11, GDEEF14, EEFG16]. We hope to provide details and a discussion of possible applications in future work.

A. Calculus in locally convex spaces

In this appendix we recall several well known facts concerning calculus in locally convex spaces.

A.1 Definition Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and E, F locally convex $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces and $U \subseteq E$ open. We say a map $f\colon U \to F$ is a $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$-map if it is continuous and the iterated directional derivatives

$$d^k f(x, y_1, \ldots, y_k) := (D_{y_k} \cdots D_{y_1} f)(x)$$

exist for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq r$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_k \in E$ and $x \in U$, and the mappings $d^k f\colon U \times E^k \to F$ so obtained are continuous. If f is $C^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$, we say that f is *smooth*. If f is $C^\infty_{\mathbb{C}}$ we say that f is *holomorphic* or *complex analytic*[16] and that f is of class $C^\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$.

A.2 Definition (Complexification of a locally convex space) Let E be a real locally convex topological vector space. We endow the locally convex product $E_{\mathbb{C}} := E \times E$ with the following operation

$$(x + iy).(u, v) := (xu - yv, xv + yu) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}, u, v \in E$$

The complex vector space $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called the *complexification* of E. We identify E with the closed real subspace $E \times \{0\}$ of $E_{\mathbb{C}}$.

A.3 Definition Let E, F be real locally convex spaces and $f\colon U \to F$ defined on an open subset U. We call f *real analytic* (or $C^\omega_{\mathbb{R}}$) if f extends to a $C^\infty_{\mathbb{C}}$-map $\tilde{f}\colon \tilde{U} \to F_{\mathbb{C}}$ on an open neighbourhood $\tilde{U}$ of U in the complexification $E_{\mathbb{C}}$.

[16] Recall from [Dah11, Proposition 1.1.16] that $C^\infty_{\mathbb{C}}$ functions are locally given by series of continuous homogeneous polynomials (cf. [BS71b, BS71a]). This justifies our abuse of notation.

For $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, being of class $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a local condition, i.e. if $f|_{U_\alpha}$ is $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$ for every member of an open cover $(U_\alpha)_\alpha$ of its domain, then f is $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$. (see [Glö02b, pp. 51-52] for the case of $C^\omega_{\mathbb{R}}$, the other cases are clear by definition.) In addition, the composition of $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$-maps (if possible) is again a $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$-map (cf. [Glö02b, Propositions 2.7 and 2.9]).

A.4 ($C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$-Manifolds and $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$-mappings between them) For $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, manifolds modelled on a fixed locally convex space can be defined as usual. The model space of a locally convex manifold and the manifold as a topological space will always be assumed to be Hausdorff spaces. However, we will not assume that manifolds are second countable or paracompact. Direct products of locally convex manifolds, tangent spaces and tangent bundles as well as $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$-maps between manifolds may be defined as in the finite-dimensional setting.

For $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$-manifolds M, N we use the notation $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}(M, N)$ for the set of all $C^r_{\mathbb{K}}$-maps from M to N.

Furthermore, for $s \in \{\infty, \omega\}$, we define *locally convex $C^s_{\mathbb{K}}$-Lie groups* as groups with a $C^s_{\mathbb{K}}$-manifold structure turning the group operations into $C^s_{\mathbb{K}}$-maps.

The following criterion allows one to deduce that a continuous function is holomorphic if it is weakly holomorphic.

A.5 Proposition *Let E, F be complete locally convex spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ and $U \subseteq E$ be an open subset. A continuous function $f\colon U \to F$ is complex analytic if there exists a separating[17] family Λ of continuous linear maps $\lambda\colon F \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda \circ f$ is complex analytic.*

Proof. It is well known (cf. [Dah11, Lemma 1.1.15]) that f is complex analytic if and only if f is continuous and Gateaux-analytic at every point of its domain. By definition f is Gateaux analytic if for every $x \in U$ and $v \in E$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$f_{x,v}\colon B^{\mathbb{C}}_\varepsilon(0) \to F, \quad z \mapsto f(x + zv)$$

is analytic. However, our assumption shows that for every such pair (x, v) and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the mapping $\lambda \circ f_{x,v}$ is complex analytic. As Λ separates the points and F is complete [GE04, Theorem 1] shows that this already implies that $f_{x,v}$ is complex analytic. Summing up, we see that f is complex analytic. □

A.6 Remark It is possible to prove Proposition A.5 for more general spaces. Namely, one can relax the completeness assumption to Mackey complete spaces (cf. [GE04]). Since the spaces in the present paper will always be complete we have no need for this generality.

[17] "Separating" means that for every $v \in F$ there is $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda(v) \neq 0$.

B. Auxiliary results for Chapter 1

B.1 Lemma *Let $(J, |\cdot|)$ be a graded index set and fix a growth family $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$.*

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the vector space $\ell^1_{k+1}(J)$ is a subspace of $\ell^1_k(J)$ and the canonical inclusion map

$$I_k \colon \ell^1_{k+1}(J) \to \ell^1_k(J), \quad \sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \mapsto \sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau$$

is continuous linear with operator norm at most 1.

Proof. Let $\sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \in \ell^1_{k+1}(J)$ be given. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \right\|_{\ell^1_k} &= \sum_\tau |c_\tau| \, \omega_k(|\tau|) = \sum_\tau |c_\tau| \, \omega_k(|\tau|) \\ &\overset{\text{(W1)}}{\leq} \sum_\tau |c_\tau| \, \omega_{k+1}(|\tau|) = \left\| \sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \right\|_{\ell^1_{k+1}} .\end{aligned}$$

□

B.2 Lemma *Fix a graded index set J, a growth family $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a Banach space B. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and endow $\mathbb{K}^{(J)}$ with the ℓ^1_k-norm and the continuous linear maps $\mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{K}^{(J)}, B)$ with the operator norm. Then the map*

$$R \colon \mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{K}^{(J)}, B) \to \ell^\infty_k(J, B), f \mapsto f|_J$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

Proof. Denote by $\|f\|_{\mathrm{op}}$ the operator norm of $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{K}^{(J)}, B)$. For $\tau \in J$ we derive then

$$\|R(f)(\tau)\|_B = \|f(\tau)\|_B \leq \|f\|_{\mathrm{op}} \, \|\tau\|_{\ell^1_k} = \|f\|_{\mathrm{op}} \, \omega_k(|\tau|)$$

Dividing by $\omega_k(|\tau|)$ and passing to the supremum over $\tau \in J$ in the above inequality, we see that $\|R(f)\|_{\ell^\infty_k} = \|f|_J\|_{\ell^\infty_k} \leq \|f\|_{\mathrm{op}}$. Conversely, for $x = \sum_{\tau\in J} c_x \tau \in \mathbb{K}^{(J)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(x)\|_B &\leq \sum_{\tau\in J} |c_x| \, \|f(\tau)\|_B = \sum_{\tau\in J} |c_x| \frac{\|f(\tau)\|_B}{\omega_k(|\tau|)} \omega_k(|\tau|) \\ &\leq \|x\|_{\ell^1_k} \, \|f|_J\|_{\ell^\infty_k} = \|x\|_{\ell^1_k} \, \|R(f)\|_{\ell^\infty_k}\end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $\|x\|_{\ell^1_k}$ and passing to the supremum over $x \in \mathbb{K}^{(J)} \setminus \{0\}$ we see that also $\|f\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq \|R(f)\|_{\ell^\infty_k}$. □

We will now establish Lemma 1.10. To this end we need some preparatory results. The assertion of the next Lemma should be a standard fact from functional analysis. Unfortunately, we were not able to track down a a proof.

B.3 Lemma (Factorisation Lemma) *Let $E := \varprojlim E_k$ be the projective limit of a sequence of Banach spaces $(E_1 \leftarrow E_2 \leftarrow \cdots)$ such that the projections $\pi_k \colon E \to E_k$ have dense image.*[18] *Let $\varphi \colon E \to B$ be a linear map with values in a Banach space B. Then φ is continuous if and only if there is $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that φ factors through a continuous linear map $\widetilde{\varphi} \colon E_{k_0} \to B$.*

Proof. It is clear that the condition is sufficient for the continuity of φ. Hence we have to establish that it is also necessary. Since $\varphi \colon E \to B$ is continuous there is a continuous seminorm p on E such that $\|\varphi(x)\|_B \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in E$. The topology on $E = \varprojlim E_k$ is generated by the projections $\pi_k \colon E \to E_k$. Hence there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\varphi(x)\|_B \leq p(x) \leq C \, \|\pi_{k_0}(x)\|_{E_{k_0}} \qquad \text{for all } x \in E.$$

If $x \in \ker \pi_{k_0}$, this implies at once that $\varphi(x) = 0$. We conclude that the following map is well-defined

$$\psi \colon \pi_{k_0}(E) \subseteq E_{k_0} \to F, \quad \pi_{k_0}(x) \mapsto \varphi(x).$$

This linear map is continuous with operator norm at most C and hence it can be extended to a continuous linear map $\tilde{\varphi} \colon E_{k_0} \to B$ as $\pi_{k_0}(E)$ is dense in E_{k_0}. □

Before we prove Lemma 1.10 we repeat its assertion for the readers convenience.

Lemma 1.10 *Let $(J, |\cdot|)$ and $(I, |\cdot|)$ be two graded index sets. Then a linear map $T \colon \mathbb{K}^{(J)} \to \mathbb{K}^{(I)}$ extends to a (unique) continuous operator $\widetilde{T} \colon \ell^1_{\leftarrow}(J) \to \ell^1_{\leftarrow}(I)$, if and only if for each $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|T\tau\|_{\ell^1_{k_1}} \leq C \omega_{k_2}(|\tau|) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in J.$$

Proof. Proof of 1.10 We first show that this criterion is sufficient. It suffices to show that the map T is continuous with respect to all $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^1_k}$ on the range space. To this end, let $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and choose k_2 and $C \geq 0$ as in the statement of the Lemma. Let $\sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \in \mathbb{K}^{(J)}$ be given. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T\left(\sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \right) \right\|_{\ell^1_{k_1}} &= \left\| \sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot T\tau \right\|_{\ell^1_{k_1}} \leq \sum_\tau |c_\tau| \cdot \|T\tau\|_{\ell^1_{k_1}} \\ &\leq \sum_\tau |c_\tau| \, C\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|) = C \sum_\tau |c_\tau| \, h_{k_2}(\tau) = C \left\| \sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \right\|_{\ell^1_{k_2}} . \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that the linear map $T \colon \mathbb{K}^{(J)} \to \mathbb{K}^{(I)}$ can be extended to a continuous linear operator $\widetilde{T} \colon \ell^1_{\leftarrow}(J) \to \ell^1_{\leftarrow}(I)$. Then for each fixed $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, we can compose this map with the projection to the Banach space $\ell^1_{k_1}(I)$. This yields a

[18] This is equivalent to the assumption that the bonding maps have dense image.

continuous linear map from $\ell^1_{\leftarrow}(J)$ to $\ell^1_{k_1}(I)$. By Lemma B.3 this operator factors through one of the steps $\ell^1_k(J)$, i.e. there is k_2 such that the map

$$\ell^1_{k_2}(J) \to \ell^1_{k_1}(I), \quad \sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau \mapsto \widetilde{T}\left(\sum_\tau c_\tau \cdot \tau\right)$$

is a continuous operator between these Banach spaces with operator norm $0 \leq C < \infty$. Now each $\tau \in J$ can be regarded as a vector in $\ell^1_{k_2}(J)$ and hence we have the estimate:

$$\|T\tau\|_{\ell^1_{k_1}} = \left\|\widetilde{T}\tau\right\|_{\ell^1_{k_1}} \leq C \|\tau\|_{\ell^1_{k_2}} = Ch_{k_2}(\tau) = C\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|). \qquad \square$$

Finally, we establish a technical result on the limits of the spaces of bounded sequences relative to a growth family.

B.4 Lemma (Compact regularity of $\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(J,B)$) *Let $((J,|\cdot|))$ be a graded index set, $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a convex growth family and B be a Banach space. Then the direct limit $\ell^\infty_\rightarrow(J,B)$ is* compactly regular*, i.e. for every compact set $K \subseteq \ell^\infty_\rightarrow(J,B)$ there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that K is a compact subset of $\ell^\infty_k(J,B)$.*

Proof. By a criterion of Wengenroth [Wen03, Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.4], compact regularity follows from the following

$$\begin{aligned}\forall k_1 \in \mathbb{N}\ \exists k_2 \geq k_1 \text{ such that } \forall k_3 \geq k_2 \colon (\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0)\colon \\ B_1^{\ell^\infty_{k_1}(J,B)}(0) \cap B_\delta^{\ell^\infty_{k_3}(J,B)}(0) \subseteq B_\varepsilon^{\ell^\infty_{k_2}(J,B)}(0)\,.\end{aligned}$$

So, for fixed $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, we need to find k_2 such that for all $k_3 \geq k_2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ with the property

$$\sup_\tau \frac{|f(\tau)|}{\omega_{k_1}(|\tau|)} \leq 1 \text{ and } \sup_\tau \frac{|f(\tau)|}{\omega_{k_3}(|\tau|)} \leq \delta \text{ implies } \sup_\tau \frac{|f(\tau)|}{\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. We choose $k_2 \geq k_1$ as in (cW) and fix some $\varepsilon > 0$. We will now construct a suitable $\delta > 0$ independent of $\tau \in J$ which satisfies the above property. By (W1) we know that $\omega_{k_1}(|\tau|) < \omega_{k_2}(|\tau|)$ for all τ. Hence for $\alpha \in]0,1[$ we obtain the estimate

$$\omega_{k_1}(\cdot)^\alpha \cdot \omega_{k_3}(\cdot)^{1-\alpha} \leq \omega_{k_2}(\cdot).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}|f(\tau)| &= \left(\frac{|f(\tau)|}{\omega_{k_1}(|\tau|)}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{|f(\tau)|}{\omega_{k_3}(|\tau|)}\right)^{1-\alpha} \omega_{k_1}(|\tau|)^\alpha \cdot \omega_{k_3}(|\tau|)^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{|f(\tau)|}{\omega_{k_1}(|\tau|)}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{|f(\tau)|}{\omega_{k_3}(|\tau|)}\right)^{1-\alpha} \omega_{k_2}(|\tau|) \leq \delta^{1-\alpha}\omega_{k_2}(|\tau|).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that this computation is independent of τ. Hence the above property is satisfied for all $\delta > 0$ with $\delta^{1-\alpha} < \varepsilon$ which completes the proof. $\square$

Under suitable finiteness conditions the space $\ell^\infty_\to(J,B)$ behaves even better:

B.5 Lemma ($\ell^\infty_\to(J,B)$ is sometimes a Silva space) *Let $(\omega_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a (possibly not convex) growth family and assume that $(J,|\cdot|)$ is a graded index set of finite type. Assume further that the target space B is finite-dimensional. Then the (LB)-space $\ell^\infty_\to(J,B)$ is a* Silva space*, i.e. it can be written as a locally convex direct limit with compact operators as bonding maps. In particular, the space is* compactly regular*, i.e. every compact subset of $\ell^\infty_\to(J,B)$ is a compact subset in one of the steps.*

Proof. Every Silva space is compactly regular (see e.g. [Glö11, Proposition 4.4]). Thus it suffices to show that $\ell^\infty_\to(J,B)$ is a Silva space.

To this end, we construct iteratively indices which satisfy (W3). This yields a sequence $k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < k_4 < \cdots$ such that

$$\frac{\omega_{k_j}(n)}{\omega_{k_{j+1}}(n)} \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since passing to a cofinal subsequence $\left(\ell^\infty_{k_1}(J,B) \to \ell^\infty_{k_2}(J,B) \to \cdots\right)$ does not change the limit, we may assume without loss of generality that $k_j = j$ and thus that

$$\frac{\omega_k(n)}{\omega_{k+1}(n)} \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{25}$$

Using the isometric isomorphisms

$$\ell^\infty_k(J,B) \to \ell^\infty(J,B), \quad f \mapsto \frac{f}{\omega_k(|\cdot|)},$$

we see that the bonding map I_k^{k+1} is a compact operator if and only if the following multiplication operator is compact:

$$M_h \colon \ell^\infty(J,B) \to \ell^\infty(J,B), \quad f \mapsto h \cdot f,$$

where $h \colon J \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \tau \mapsto \frac{\omega_k(|\tau|)}{\omega_{k+1}(|\tau|)}$.

Since $B \cong \mathbb{K}^d$ is finite-dimensional we may identify the Banach space $\ell^\infty(J,B)$ by a finite direct sum of the scalar valued function space

$$\ell^\infty(J,\mathbb{K}^d) \cong \left(\ell^\infty(J,\mathbb{K})\right)^d,$$

so without loss of generality, we may assume that $B = \mathbb{K}$. In this scalar case, it is well known that such a multiplication operator is compact if and only if the function h belongs to the space $c_0(J)$ (cf. [MV97, p. 147 Exercise 4.]), i.e. it has countable support and $\lim_{j\to\infty} \omega_k/\omega_{k+1}(|\tau_j|) = 0$ for some enumeration $(\tau_j)_j$ of the support of h. Since $(J,|\cdot|)$ is of finite type, this means that $|\tau_j|$ tends to infinity and by 25 we have $\omega_k/\omega_{k+1}(|\tau_j|) \leq 2^{-|\tau_j|} \to 0$.

This shows that the bonding maps are compact operators and hence that $\ell^\infty_\to(J,B)$ is a Silva space. □

References

[AL13] Aguiar, M. and Lauve, A. *Antipode and convolution powers of the identity in graded connected Hopf algebras*. In *25th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2013)*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., AS, pp. 1053–1064 (Assoc. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Nancy, 2013)

[AM13] Aguiar, M. and Mahajan, S. *Hopf monoids in the category of species*. In *Hopf algebras and tensor categories*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 585, pp. 17–124 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013). doi:10.1090/conm/585/11665

[Bas64] Bastiani, A. *Applications différentiables et variétés différentiables de dimension infinie*. J. Analyse Math. **13** (1964):1–114

[BCFP17] Bruned, Y., Chevyrev, I., Friz, P. K. and Preiss, R. *A Rough Path Perspective on Renormalization* 2017. URL `http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.01152v1;http://arxiv.org/pdf/1701.01152v1.1701.01152v1`

[BDS16] Bogfjellmo, G., Dahmen, R. and Schmeding, A. *Character groups of Hopf algebras as infinite-dimensional Lie groups*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **66** (2016)(5):2101–2155

[BDS17] Bogfjellmo, G., Dahmen, R. and Schmeding, A. *Overview of (pro-)Lie group structures on Hopf algebra character groups*. In M. Barbero, K. Ebrahimi-Fard and D. M. de Diego (Eds.), *Discrete Mechanics, Geometric Integration and Lie-Butcher Series*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics (2017). In print

[BFK06] Brouder, C., Frabetti, A. and Krattenthaler, C. *Non-commutative Hopf algebra of formal diffeomorphisms*. Adv. Math. **200** (2006)(2):479–524. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2005.01.005

[Boc70] Bochnak, J. *Analytic functions in Banach spaces*. Studia Math. **35** (1970):273–292

[BS71a] Bochnak, J. and Siciak, J. *Analytic functions in topological vector spaces*. Studia Math. **39** (1971):77–112

[BS71b] Bochnak, J. and Siciak, J. *Polynomials and multilinear mappings in topological vector spaces*. Studia Math. **39** (1971):59–76

[BS16] Bogfjellmo, G. and Schmeding, A. *The tame Butcher group*. J. Lie Theory **26** (2016)(4):1107–1144

[BS17] Bogfjellmo, G. and Schmeding, A. *The Lie Group Structure of the Butcher Group*. Foundations of Computational Mathematics **17** (2017)(1):127–159. doi:10.1007/s10208-015-9285-5

[BT10] Berend, D. and Tassa, T. *Improved bounds on Bell numbers and on moments of sums of random variables*. Probab. Math. Statist. **30** (2010)(2):185–205

[Car07] Cartier, P. *A primer of Hopf algebras*. In *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. II*, pp. 537–615 (Springer, Berlin, 2007). doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-30308-4_12

[CHV10] Chartier, P., Hairer, E. and Vilmart, G. *Algebraic structures of B-series*. Found. Comput. Math. **10** (2010)(4):407–427. doi:10.1007/s10208-010-9065-1

[CM08] Connes, A. and Marcolli, M. *Noncommutative geometry, quantum fields and motives*, *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, vol. 55 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2008)

[CMSS15] Chartier, P., Murua, A. and Sanz-Serna, J. M. *Higher-order averaging, formal series and numerical integration III: error bounds*. Found. Comput. Math. **15** (2015)(2):591–612. doi:10.1007/s10208-013-9175-7

[Com74] Comtet, L. *Advanced combinatorics* (D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1974), enlarged edn.

[Dah10] Dahmen, R. *Analytic mappings between LB-spaces and applications in infinite-dimensional Lie theory*. Math. Z. **266** (2010)(1):115–140. doi: 10.1007/s00209-009-0557-0

[Dah11] Dahmen, R. *Direct limit constructions in infinite dimensional Lie theory*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Paderborn 2011. Urn:nbn:de:hbz:466:2-239

[DFHN$^+$14] Duchamp, G. H. E., Foissy, L., Hoang-Nghia, N., Manchon, D. and Tanasa, A. *A combinatorial non-commutative Hopf algebra of graphs*. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. **16** (2014)(1):355–370

[EEFG16] Espinosa, L. A. D., Ebrahimi-Fard, K. and Gray, W. S. *A combinatorial Hopf algebra for nonlinear output feedback control systems*. Journal of Algebra **453** (2016):609–643. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.01.004

[EFLM14] Ebrahimi-Fard, K., Lundervold, A. and Manchon, D. *Noncommutative Bell polynomials, quasideterminants and incidence Hopf algebras*. Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **24** (2014)(5):671–705. doi:10.1142/S0218196714500283

[FM15] Frabetti, A. and Manchon, D. *Five interpretations of Faà di Bruno's formula*. In *Faà di Bruno Hopf algebras, Dyson-Schwinger equations, and Lie-Butcher series*, *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, vol. 21, pp. 91–147 (Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2015)

[FW68] Floret, K. and Wloka, J. *Einführung in die Theorie der lokalkonvexen Räume*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 56 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1968)

[GDE11] Gray, W. S. and Duffaut Espinosa, L. A. *A Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra for a group of Fliess operators with applications to feedback*. Systems Control Lett. **60** (2011)(7):441–449. doi:10.1016/j.sysconle.2011.03.007

[GDEEF14] Gray, W. S., Duffaut Espinosa, L. A. and Ebrahimi-Fard, K. *Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra of the output feedback group for multivariable Fliess operators*. Systems Control Lett. **74** (2014):64–73. doi:10.1016/j.sysconle.2014.10.005

[GE04] Grosse-Erdmann, K.-G. *A weak criterion for vector-valued holomorphy*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **136** (2004)(2):399–411. doi:10.1017/S0305004103007254

[GEF14] Gray, W. S. and Ebrahimi-Fard, K. *Affine SISO Feedback Transformation Group and Its Faa di Bruno Hopf Algebra* 2014. `arXiv:1411.0222v1`

[Glö02a] Glöckner, H. *Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions*. In *Geometry and analysis on finite- and infinite-dimensional Lie groups (Będlewo, 2000)*, *Banach Center Publ.*, vol. 55, pp. 43–59 (Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2002)

[Glö02b] Glöckner, H. *Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions*. In *Geometry and analysis on finite- and infinite-dimensional Lie groups (Będlewo, 2000)*, *Banach Center Publ.*, vol. 55, pp. 43–59 (Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2002)

[Glö11] Glöckner, H. *Direct limits of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*. In *Developments and trends in infinite-dimensional Lie theory*, *Progr. Math.*, vol. 288, pp. 243–280 (Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2011). doi:10.1007/978-0-8176-4741-4_8

[Glö15] Glöckner, H. *Regularity properties of infinite-dimensional Lie groups, and semiregularity* 2015. `arXiv:1208.0715v5`

[HK15] Hairer, M. and Kelly, D. *Geometric versus non-geometric rough paths*. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **51** (2015)(1):207–251. doi:10.1214/13-AIHP564. URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/13-AIHP564`

[HL97] Hairer, E. and Lubich, C. *The life-span of backward error analysis for numerical integrators*. Numer. Math. **76** (1997)(4):441–462. doi:10.1007/s002110050271

[HLW06] Hairer, E., Lubich, C. and Wanner, G. *Geometric numerical integration*, *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*, vol. 31 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006), second edn.

[Hof00] Hoffman, M. E. *Quasi-shuffle products.* J. Algebraic Combin. **11** (2000)(1):49–68. doi:10.1023/A:1008791603281

[HSTH01] Hirai, T., Shimomura, H., Tatsuuma, N. and Hirai, E. *Inductive limits of topologies, their direct products, and problems related to algebraic structures.* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **41** (2001)(3):475–505

[Kel74] Keller, H. *Differential Calculus in Locally Convex Spaces.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 417 (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1974)

[KM97] Kriegl, A. and Michor, P. W. *The convenient setting of global analysis*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 53 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997). doi:10.1090/surv/053

[Lee13] Lee, J. M. *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 218 (Springer, New York, 2013), second edn.

[LMK11] Lundervold, A. and Munthe-Kaas, H. *Hopf algebras of formal diffeomorphisms and numerical integration on manifolds.* In *Combinatorics and physics*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 539, pp. 295–324 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011). doi:10.1090/conm/539/10641

[LR10] Loday, J.-L. and Ronco, M. *Combinatorial Hopf algebras.* In *Quanta of maths*, *Clay Math. Proc.*, vol. 11, pp. 347–383 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010)

[Man08] Manchon, D. *Hopf algebras in renormalisation.* In *Handbook of algebra. Vol. 5*, *Handb. Algebr.*, vol. 5, pp. 365–427 (Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008). doi:10.1016/S1570-7954(07)05007-3

[Mil83] Milnor, J. *Remarks on infinite-dimensional Lie groups.* In B. DeWitt and R. Stora (Eds.), *Relativity, Groups and Topology II*, pp. 1007–1057 (North Holland, New York, 1983)

[MKW08] Munthe-Kaas, H. Z. and Wright, W. M. *On the Hopf algebraic structure of Lie group integrators.* Found. Comput. Math. **8** (2008)(2):227–257. doi:10.1007/s10208-006-0222-5

[MP15] Menous, F. and Patras, F. *Right-handed Hopf algebras and the preLie forest formula* 2015. `arXiv:1511.07403`

[MSS15] Murua, A. and Sanz-Serna, J. M. *Word series for dynamical systems and their numerical integrators.* Foundations of Computational Mathematics (2015):1–38. doi:10.1007/s10208-015-9295-3

[MSS16] Murua, A. and Sanz-Serna, J. M. *Computing normal forms and formal invariants of dynamical systems by means of word series.* Nonlinear Anal. **138** (2016):326–345. doi:10.1016/j.na.2015.10.013

[MST99] Muñoz, G. A., Sarantopoulos, Y. and Tonge, A. *Complexifications of real Banach spaces, polynomials and multilinear maps.* Studia Math. **134** (1999)(1):1–33

[Mur99] Murua, A. *Formal series and numerical integrators. I. Systems of ODEs and symplectic integrators.* Appl. Numer. Math. **29** (1999)(2):221–251. doi:10.1016/S0168-9274(98)00064-6

[MV97] Meise, R. and Vogt, D. *Introduction to functional analysis*, *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 2 (The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1997)

[Nee06] Neeb, K. *Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups.* Japanese Journal of Mathematics **1** (2006)(2):291–468

[Obe85] Oberst, U. *Actions of formal groups on formal schemes. Applications to control theory and combinatorics.* In *Séminaire d'algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paule Malliavin, 36ème année (Paris, 1983–1984)*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1146, pp. 214–269 (Springer, Berlin, 1985). doi:10.1007/BFb0074540

[Reu93] Reutenauer, C. *Free Lie algebras*, *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*, vol. 7 (The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993). Oxford Science Publications

[Tes12] Teschl, G. *Ordinary differential equations and dynamical systems*, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 140 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012). doi:10.1090/gsm/140

[Wat79] Waterhouse, W. C. *Introduction to affine group schemes*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 66 (Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979)

[Wen03] Wengenroth, J. *Derived functors in functional analysis*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1810 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003)